## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE

April

16, 2019

D. Taylor President UNITE HERE 275 Seventh Ave. New York, New York 10001

Re: Eldorado Resorts, Inc.

PREN14A preliminary proxy statement filing made on Schedule

14A

Filed April 10, 2019 by UNITE HERE

File No. 001-36629

Dear Mr. Taylor:

We have reviewed the above-captioned filing, and have the following comments. Some

of our comments may ask for additional information so we may better understand the disclosure.

Please respond to this letter by amending the filing and/or by providing the requested

information. If you do not believe our comments apply to your facts and circumstances and/or  $\,$ 

do not believe an amendment is appropriate, please tell us why in a written response.

After reviewing any amendment to the filing and any information provided in response to

these comments, we may have additional comments.

## Schedule 14A

1. Only "UNITE HERE" has been identified as a person filing the proxy statement. Please

identify Dana Wise, Courtney Alexander and Jim Kane as additional persons responsible for

filing the proxy statement given their role, as admitted on page 5, as participants within the

meaning of Instruction 3 to Item 4 of Schedule 14A. Refer to Rule 14a-101.

## **Proxy Statement**

2. Please advise us whether the participants intend to rely upon Rule 14a-5(c), as suggested on

page 5, to satisfy their obligation to provide all of the information required by Item 6 of

Schedule 14A. To the extent that reliance upon Rule 14a-5(c) is being contemplated, as

suggested on page 5, please advise us, with a view toward revised disclosure, how the

participants intend to perfect such reliance in connection with this line item and any others.

Note that Rule 14a-5(c), by its terms, does not authorize, nor does it permit, the participants

to "incorporate by reference" information from the registrant's proxy statement.

D. Taylor

April 16, 2019

Page 2

3. The first page leaves open the approximate "release date" of the proxy statement. Please  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right)$ 

advise us how the participants intend to fulfill their obligation to distribute the proxy

statement given the requirements of Rule 14a-3(a), which requires the proxy statement to be

furnished, and Rule 14a-4(f), which prohibits giving shareholders a form of proxy unless

they have been previously given, or are simultaneously given, a definitive proxy statement.

4. Please provide us with the factual foundation for the assertion that Nevada's Acquisition of

Controlling Interest Statute "disenfranchises shareholders who acquire large stakes, and it

may serve to discourage investors from making offers to acquire the company..."

Alternatively, please delete the statement. See Note b. to Rule 14a-9.

Proxy Voting Procedures, page 5

5. Notwithstanding the disclosure that "[p] assage of the proposals requires approval of a

majority of votes cast," please advise us how the participants have complied with Item 21(b)

of Schedule 14A. At present, it appears as though no reference has been made to the

treatment of broker non-votes or whether such votes will even been permitted.

- 6. Please advise us how the participants have complied with Item 23 of Schedule 14A.
- 7. Notwithstanding the absence of a voting recommendation with respect to the proposal

regarding the election of trustees, please advise us whether or not the participants believe

compliance with Item 5(b) of Schedule 14A is required. Refer to Rule 14a-12(c) of

Regulation M-A and Note 3 to paragraph (a) of Rule 14a-6 (which provision is used to

determine whether a "Solicitation in Opposition" exists).

Form of Proxy

- 8. Please revise to identify the preliminary form of proxy as preliminary. See Rule 14a-6(e)(1).
- 9. Please revise the presentation of proposals 1-4 to indicate these proposals are the registrant's.

See Rule 14a-4(a)(3)(as well as Rule 14a-1(j), which defines the term "registrant").

10. Notwithstanding the brief introduction of the proposal series at number five as a "shareholder

proposals," please revise to indicate whether or not each proposal is related to or conditioned  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$ 

on the approval of other matters while also making clear the proposals have been proposed

by UNITE HERE. See Rule 14a-4(a)(3).

11. Given that the registrant has assigned an individual number, as distinguished from assigning

a letter designating each of the proposals in the series of five shareholder proposals, please  ${\sf r}$ 

conform the identification of these proposals to minimize any potential for shareholder

confusion and satisfy the participants' obligation to "clearly" identify such proposals in

accordance with Rule 14a-4(a)(3).

D. Taylor

April 16, 2019

Page 3

12. We noticed that the participants acknowledge that the form of proxy will not confer any

discretionary voting authority, but the context in which this representation is made suggests

that such limitation is only if matters are introduced at the meeting. Please confirm for us, if

true, that the participants also do not intend to seek or use the discretionary authority

available under Rule 14a-4(b) for matters already memorialized on the form of proxy.

13. Please advise us, with a view towards revised disclosure, how the participants have complied

with their disclosure obligations under Rule 14a-4(e) of Regulation 14A.

\* \* \*

We remind you that the participants are responsible for the accuracy  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{W}}$ and adequacy of their disclosures, notwithstanding any review, comments, action or absence of action by the staff.

You may contact me at (202) 551-3266, with any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Nicholas P.

Panos

Nicholas P. Panos Senior Special

Office of Mergers

Counsel

and Acquisitions

cc: Sarah Grossman-Swenson, Esq.