

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2017

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 333-203106

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

37-1751234

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Caesars Palace Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada

(Address of principal executive offices)

89109

(Zip Code)

(702) 407-6000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

		Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Unaudited Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets</u>	<u>3</u>
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>4</u>
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Stockholder's Equity</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>41</u>
	<u>Signature</u>	<u>42</u>

Caesars Growth Properties Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries have proprietary rights to a number of trademarks used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are important to its business. In addition, Caesars Entertainment Corporation, Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc., and their subsidiaries have proprietary rights to, among others, Caesars, Caesars Entertainment, Harrah's, Total Rewards, Horseshoe and Bally's. We have omitted the registered trademark (®) and trademark (™) symbols for such trademarks named in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Unaudited Financial Statements.

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED) (In millions)

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 182.8	\$ 164.6
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6.5 and \$6.2, respectively	52.4	44.3
Restricted cash	3.6	2.6
Prepayments and other current assets	32.8	28.8
Total current assets	271.6	240.3
Land, property and equipment, net	2,202.2	2,202.8
Investment in Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC	28.6	29.1
Goodwill	214.1	214.1
Intangible assets other than goodwill, net	75.6	79.4
Prepaid management fees to related parties	174.3	177.1
Deferred charges and other	40.1	41.4
Total assets	\$ 3,006.5	\$ 2,984.2
Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 35.3	\$ 38.4
Payables to related parties	28.9	22.1
Accrued expenses	111.7	114.4
Accrued interest payable	29.3	13.9
Current portion of long-term debt	12.2	12.5
Total current liabilities	217.4	201.3
Long-term debt	1,948.8	1,950.0
Deferred credits and other	1.4	1.5
Total liabilities	2,167.6	2,152.8
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)		
Stockholder's equity		
Additional paid-in capital	1,357.6	1,356.5
Accumulated deficit	(518.7)	(525.1)
Total stockholder's equity	838.9	831.4
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	\$ 3,006.5	\$ 2,984.2

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(UNAUDITED)
(In millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Revenues		
Casino	\$ 179.0	\$ 183.1
Food and beverage	64.0	65.1
Rooms	93.6	93.0
Other	49.0	45.1
Less: casino promotional allowances	(46.9)	(51.1)
Net revenues	<u>338.7</u>	<u>335.2</u>
Operating expenses		
Direct		
Casino	91.4	92.8
Food and beverage	28.6	28.7
Rooms	22.7	22.4
Property, general, administrative and other	95.8	93.4
Management fees to related parties	9.4	9.4
Write-downs, reserves and project opening costs, net of recoveries	5.5	0.2
Depreciation and amortization	38.5	32.2
Total operating expenses	<u>291.9</u>	<u>279.1</u>
Income from operations	46.8	56.1
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	(40.4)	(43.4)
Income before provision for income taxes	6.4	12.7
Provision for income taxes	—	—
Net income	<u>6.4</u>	<u>12.7</u>
Other comprehensive income, net of income taxes	—	—
Total comprehensive income	<u>\$ 6.4</u>	<u>\$ 12.7</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY
(UNAUDITED)
(In millions)

	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholder's Equity
Balance at January 1, 2016	\$ 1,351.4	\$ (546.4)	\$ 805.0
Net income	—	12.7	12.7
Stock-based compensation	1.2	—	1.2
Balance at March 31, 2016	<u>\$ 1,352.6</u>	<u>\$ (533.7)</u>	<u>\$ 818.9</u>
Balance at January 1, 2017	\$ 1,356.5	\$ (525.1)	\$ 831.4
Net income	—	6.4	6.4
Stock-based compensation	1.1	—	1.1
Balance at March 31, 2017	<u>\$ 1,357.6</u>	<u>\$ (518.7)</u>	<u>\$ 838.9</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)
(In millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 6.4	\$ 12.7
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flows provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	38.5	32.2
Amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs	2.3	2.2
Stock-based compensation	1.1	1.2
Net change in long-term accounts	3.3	(1.3)
Net change in working capital accounts	4.9	20.8
Cash flows provided by operating activities	56.5	67.8
Cash flows from investing activities		
Land, buildings and equipment additions, net of change in construction payables	(33.7)	(11.9)
Additional investment in Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC	—	(0.7)
Cash flows used in investing activities	(33.7)	(12.6)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from issuance of debt	—	15.0
Repayments under lending agreements	(3.6)	(48.8)
Cash flows used in financing activities	(3.6)	(33.8)
Net increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	19.2	21.4
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, beginning of period	167.2	100.7
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, end of period	<u>\$ 186.4</u>	<u>\$ 122.1</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Note 1 — Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Organization and Description of Business

Caesars Growth Properties Holdings, LLC ("CGPH," the "Borrower," the "Company," "we," "us" and "our") is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Caesars Growth Partners, LLC ("CGP LLC"), which is a joint venture between Caesars Acquisition Company ("CAC"), a Delaware corporation, and Caesars Entertainment Corporation ("CEC" or "Caesars Entertainment").

CGPH's properties include The Cromwell, The LINQ Hotel & Casino, Bally's Las Vegas and Harrah's New Orleans (the "May 2014 Acquisitions"), and Planet Hollywood Resort and Casino ("Planet Hollywood"). We view each casino property as an operating segment and aggregate such casino properties into one reportable segment.

Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements include all revenues, costs, assets and liabilities directly attributable to us. The accompanying unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements also include allocations of certain general corporate expenses of Caesars Entertainment and Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC ("CES"). These allocations of general corporate expenses may not reflect the expense we would have incurred if we were a stand-alone company nor are they necessarily indicative of our future costs. Management believes the assumptions and methodologies used in the allocation of general corporate expenses from Caesars Entertainment and CES are reasonable. Given the nature of these costs, it is not practicable for us to estimate what these costs would have been on a stand-alone basis.

Transactions between Caesars Entertainment and the Company have been identified in the financial statements and related footnotes as transactions between related parties (see Note 14 — Related Party Transactions).

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Management believes the accounting estimates are appropriate and reasonably determined. However, due to the inherent uncertainties in making these estimates, actual amounts could differ.

We have early adopted Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2016-18, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash*, in 2016 and have retrospectively applied the amendments. Prior to the adoption of ASU No. 2016-18, our Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows reported change in restricted cash as investing activities and excluded restricted cash from cash and cash equivalents beginning and ending balances. Impacts of early adoption on prior period include: increase in cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash balances as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 to \$122.1 million and \$100.7 million, respectively. See the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows and Note 13 — Supplemental Cash Flow Information.

We reclassified certain prior period revenue amounts to align with our 2017 reporting presentation within the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, \$1.9 million was reclassified from Food and beverage revenues to Other revenues. This reclassification did not affect our consolidated condensed total Net revenues, Income from operations, or Net income.

The results of operations for our interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be achieved for the 2017 fiscal year. The accompanying unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements are prepared under the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") applicable for interim periods and, therefore, do not include all information and footnotes necessary for complete financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Accordingly, the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements presented in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Note 2 — Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*, which amends the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") and creates a new Topic 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. This guidance provides that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Existing industry guidance, including revenue recognition guidance specific

to the gaming industry, will be eliminated. In addition, interim and annual disclosures will be substantially revised. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date*, which defers the effective date of ASU No. 2014-09 for all entities by one year. The FASB issued several amendments including ASU No. 2016-10, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing*, in April 2016, ASU No. 2016-12, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients*, in May 2016, and ASU No. 2016-20, *Technical Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, in December 2016, which further clarifies and amends certain guidance in Topic 606 including clarification on accounting for and identifying performance obligations. The FASB also issued ASU No. 2017-05, *Other Income-Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets (Subtopic 610-20): Clarifying the Scope of Asset Derecognition Guidance and Accounting for Partial Sales of Nonfinancial Assets*, in February 2017 which clarifies and amends certain guidance in Topic 610, *Other Income*. The ASUs are effective for public business entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim reporting periods within that reporting period. Early application is permitted for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. We are currently in the process of our analysis and anticipate this standard will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. We expect the most significant impact will be related to the accounting for Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc.'s ("CEOC") customer loyalty program, Total Rewards, and casino promotional allowances.

The Total Rewards customer loyalty program impacts revenues from our four core businesses: casino entertainment, food and beverage, rooms and hotel, and entertainment and other business operations. Currently, we estimate the cost of fulfilling the redemption of Reward Credits, after consideration of estimated forfeitures (referred to as "breakage"), based upon the cost of historical redemptions. Upon adoption of the new guidance, Reward Credits will no longer be recorded at cost, and a deferred revenue model will be used to account for the classification and timing of revenue recognized as well as the classification of related expenses when Reward Credits are redeemed. We expect to see a significant decrease in gaming revenues. The presentation of goods and services provided to customers without charge in gross revenue with a corresponding reduction in promotional allowances will no longer be reported. Revenue will be recognized based on relative standalone selling prices for transactions with more than one performance obligation.

The quantitative impacts of the changes discussed above are not complete and are still being analyzed. We are currently assessing the full impact the adoption of this standard will have on our financial statements.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, *Financial Instruments-Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, which primarily affects the accounting for equity investments that do not result in consolidation and are not accounted for under the equity method, presentation of changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured under the fair value option, and the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. The ASU also clarifies that an entity should evaluate the need for a valuation allowance on a deferred tax asset related to available-for-sale securities in combination with the entity's other deferred tax assets. The ASU is effective for public business entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those years. Entities can early adopt certain provisions of ASU No. 2016-01. We are currently assessing the impact the adoption of this standard will have on our financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*, which requires recognizing lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet and disclosing key quantitative and qualitative information about leasing arrangements. Generally, leases with terms of 12 months or less will not be required to be recognized on the balance sheet. The new standard requires the recognition and measurement of leases at the beginning of the earliest period presented using a modified retrospective approach. The modified retrospective approach includes a number of optional practical expedients that entities may elect to apply. For public business entities, the ASU will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted.

Currently, all of our capital leases are set to expire before the initial effective date and will not require any accounting adjustments. Accounting for our operating leases where we are the lessor will remain unchanged. Operating leases, including agreements relating to slot machines, will be recorded on the balance sheet as a right-of-use ("ROU") asset with a corresponding lease liability, which will be amortized using the effective interest rate method as payments are made. The ROU asset will be depreciated on a straight-line basis and recognized as lease expense. The qualitative and quantitative effects of adoption are still being analyzed. We are in the process of evaluating the full effect the new guidance will have on our financial statements.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, *Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments*, which replaces the incurred loss impairment methodology with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses on certain types of financial instruments and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. For available-for-sale debt securities, ASU No. 2016-13 aligns the income statement recognition of credit losses with the reporting period in which changes occur by recording credit losses and subsequent reversals through an allowance rather than a write-down. For public business entities that are SEC filers, the amendments in this guidance are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods within

those years. Early application will be permitted for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently assessing the impact the adoption of this standard will have on our financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments*, which addresses classification issues related to the statement of cash flows. The amendments in ASU No. 2016-15 provide guidance on the following eight specific cash flow issues: (1) debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs, (2) settlement of zero-coupon debt instruments or other debt instruments with coupon interest rates that are insignificant in relation to the effective interest rate of the borrowing, (3) contingent consideration payments made after a business combination, (4) proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims, (5) proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies including bank-owned life insurance policies, (6) distributions received from equity method investees, (7) beneficial interests in securitization transactions, and (8) separately identifiable cash flows and application of the predominance principle. For public business entities, the ASU will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently assessing the impact the adoption of this standard will have on our financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment*, which simplifies the goodwill impairment test by eliminating the need to determine the fair value of individual assets and liabilities of a reporting unit to measure goodwill impairment. The same impairment assessment applies to all reporting units including those with zero or negative carrying amounts. A goodwill impairment will represent the excess of a reporting unit's carrying amount over its fair value. An entity still has the option to perform the qualitative assessment for a reporting unit to determine if the quantitative impairment test is necessary. The amendments in ASU No. 2017-04 should be applied on a prospective basis. Disclosure of the nature and reason for the change in accounting principle upon transition is required. For public business entities, the amendments in this ASU are effective for annual or interim goodwill impairments tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. We plan to implement the updated guidance when we perform our annual goodwill impairment assessment on October 1, 2017, or earlier, if impairment indicators exist.

Note 3 — Land, Property and Equipment, net

Land, property and equipment, net consists of the following:

(In millions)	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Land and land improvements	\$ 1,072.7	\$ 1,072.6
Building and improvements	1,175.6	1,165.1
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	466.0	469.1
Construction in progress	48.8	24.2
	<u>2,763.1</u>	<u>2,731.0</u>
Less: accumulated depreciation	(560.9)	(528.2)
Land, property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 2,202.2</u>	<u>\$ 2,202.8</u>

Depreciation expense for property and equipment is reflected in Depreciation and amortization in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, the aggregate depreciation expense was \$33.7 million and \$27.8 million, respectively.

Amortization expense related to other items included within Depreciation and amortization in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income totaled \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

During the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, capital expenditures net of related payables were \$33.7 million and \$11.9 million, respectively. Significant capital expenditures net of related payables were \$21.3 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, for Planet Hollywood during the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

No impairment of property and equipment was recognized by the Company for the periods presented in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Note 4 — Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill was as follows as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

(In millions)

Gross goodwill	\$	1,155.0
Accumulated impairment		(940.9)
Balance at December 31, 2016 and March 31, 2017	\$	214.1

There were no additions or impairment charges to goodwill during the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Gross Carrying Value and Accumulated Amortization of Intangible Assets Other Than Goodwill

The following table provides the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization for each major class of intangible asset other than goodwill:

(In millions)	March 31, 2017				December 31, 2016		
	Weighted Average Remaining Useful Life (In years)	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Amortizing intangible assets							
Customer relationships	4.6	\$ 211.6	\$ (156.5)	\$ 55.1	\$ 211.6	\$ (153.4)	\$ 58.2
Gaming rights	7.3	45.8	(25.3)	20.5	45.8	(24.6)	21.2
		<u>\$ 257.4</u>	<u>\$ (181.8)</u>	<u>\$ 75.6</u>	<u>\$ 257.4</u>	<u>\$ (178.0)</u>	<u>\$ 79.4</u>

The aggregate amortization expense for those intangible assets that are amortized is reflected in Depreciation and amortization in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, there was \$3.8 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, of amortization expense. Estimated amortization expense is \$11.2 million for the remaining nine months ending December 31, 2017, \$15.0 million for each of the three years from 2018 through 2020, \$12.2 million for 2021 and \$3.1 million for 2022. Total estimated amortization expense for 2023 and thereafter is \$4.1 million.

No impairment charges for amortizing intangible assets were recorded for the periods presented in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Note 5 — Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consisted of the following:

(In millions)	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Deposits and customer funds liability, including advance hotel deposits	\$ 36.5	\$ 38.3
Payroll and other compensation	18.2	25.8
Accrued non-income and non-payroll taxes	12.3	7.6
Chip and token liability	5.0	5.4
Insurance claims and reserves	3.0	3.6
Progressive liability	2.5	2.4
Other accruals	34.2	31.3
	<u>\$ 111.7</u>	<u>\$ 114.4</u>

Note 6 — Debt

The following table presents CGPH outstanding third-party debt as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

(In millions)	Final Maturity	Interest Rates at March 31, 2017	Face Value at March 31, 2017	Book Value at	
				March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Secured debt					
Caesars Growth Properties Holdings Revolving Credit Facility ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	2019	variable	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Caesars Growth Properties Holdings Term Loan ⁽²⁾	2021	6.25%	1,142.7	1,117.6	1,119.2
Caesars Growth Properties Holdings Notes	2022	9.375%	675.0	662.6	662.1
Cromwell Credit Facility ⁽²⁾	2019	11.00%	170.9	167.1	167.2
Capital lease obligations	2017	various	—	—	0.1
Unsecured debt					
Special Improvement District Bonds	2037	5.30%	13.7	13.7	13.7
Other financing obligations	2017	various	—	—	0.2
Total debt			2,002.3	1,961.0	1,962.5
Current portion of total debt			(12.2)	(12.2)	(12.5)
Long-term debt			\$ 1,990.1	\$ 1,948.8	\$ 1,950.0

⁽¹⁾ Variable interest rate calculated as London Inter-Bank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 5.00%.

⁽²⁾ See Note 16 — Subsequent Event.

As of March 31, 2017, the face value of CGPH's annual maturities of outstanding third-party debt were as follows:

(In millions) Year	Annual Maturity of Outstanding Third-Party Debt
Remainder of 2017	\$ 9.2
2018	12.1
2019	183.1
2020	12.2
2021	1,099.1
2022	675.5
Thereafter	11.1
Total outstanding third-party debt	\$ 2,002.3

Caesars Growth Properties Holdings Senior Secured Credit Facility

On May 8, 2014, CGPH closed on the \$1.175 billion term loan (the "CGPH Term Loan") pursuant to a first lien credit agreement among Caesars Growth Properties Parent, LLC ("Parent"), the Borrower, the lenders party thereto, Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, as Administrative Agent (the "Administrative Agent"), and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., UBS Securities LLC, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, Macquarie Capital (USA) Inc. and Nomura Securities International, Inc., as Co-Lead Arrangers and Bookrunners (the "Credit Agreement").

The Credit Agreement provides for a \$150.0 million revolving credit agreement (the "Revolving Credit Facility"), which was undrawn at the closing of the CGPH Term Loan. As of March 31, 2017, no borrowings were outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility and \$0.1 million was committed to outstanding letters of credit. Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility are each subject to separate note agreements executed based on the provisions of the Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the CGPH Term Loan bear interest at a rate equal to, at the Borrower's option, either (a) the LIBOR determined by reference to the costs of funds for Eurodollar deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing, adjusted for certain additional costs, subject to a floor of 1.00% in the case of term loans or (b) a base rate determined by reference to the highest of (i) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, (ii) the prime rate as determined by the Administrative Agent under the Credit Agreement and (iii) the one-month adjusted LIBOR rate plus 1.00%, in each case plus an applicable margin. Such applicable margin shall be 5.25% per annum for LIBOR loans and 4.25% per annum for base rate loans, subject to step downs with respect to the revolving loans based on CGPH's senior secured leverage ratio ("SSLR"). In addition, on a quarterly basis, CGPH is required to pay each lender under the Revolving Credit Facility a commitment fee in respect of any unused commitments under the Revolving Credit Facility, which is subject to a leverage based pricing grid. CGPH is also required to pay customary agency fees as well as letter of credit participation fees computed at a rate per annum equal to the applicable margin for LIBOR

borrowings on the dollar equivalent of the daily stated amount of outstanding letters of credit, plus such letter of credit issuer's customary documentary and processing fees and charges and a fronting fee in an amount equal to 0.125% of the daily stated amount of such letter of credit.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the book value of the CGPH Term Loan was presented net of the unamortized discount of \$21.4 million and \$22.5 million, respectively, and net of unamortized debt issuance costs of \$3.7 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. The effective interest rate was 6.87% as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The CGPH Term Loan is guaranteed by the Parent and the material, domestic wholly-owned subsidiaries of CGPH (subject to exceptions), and is secured by a pledge of the equity interest of CGPH directly held by the Parent and substantially all of the existing and future property and assets of CGPH and the subsidiary guarantors (subject to exceptions).

The CGPH Term Loan includes negative covenants, subject to certain exceptions, restricting or limiting CGPH's ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries to, among other things: (i) incur additional debt or issue certain preferred shares; (ii) pay dividends on or make distributions in respect of their capital stock or make other restricted payments; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell certain assets; (v) create liens on certain assets to secure debt; (vi) consolidate, merge, sell, or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of their assets; (vii) enter into certain transactions with their affiliates and (viii) designate their subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries. The CGPH Term Loan also contains customary affirmative covenants and customary events of default, subject to customary or agreed-upon exceptions, baskets and thresholds (including equity cure provisions).

The CGPH Term Loan requires that CGPH maintains a senior secured leverage ratio of no more than 6.00 to 1.00, which is the ratio of first lien senior secured net debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA"), adjusted as defined. As of March 31, 2017, CGPH's SSLR was 2.65 to 1.00.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the assets of Harrah's New Orleans, Bally's Las Vegas, Planet Hollywood and The LINQ Hotel & Casino were pledged as collateral for the CGPH Term Loan.

Caesars Growth Properties Holdings Notes

CGPH and Caesars Growth Properties Finance, Inc. (together, the "Issuers") issued \$675.0 million aggregate principal amount of 9.375% second-priority senior secured notes due 2022 (the "2022 Notes") pursuant to an indenture dated as of April 17, 2014, among the Issuers and US Bank National Association, as trustee.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the book value of the 2022 Notes was presented net of the unamortized discount of \$10.9 million and \$11.3 million, respectively, and net of unamortized debt issuance costs of \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. The effective interest rate was 9.84% as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The 2022 Notes are secured by substantially all of the existing and future property and assets of CGPH and the subsidiary guarantors (subject to exceptions).

The 2022 Notes include negative covenants, subject to certain exceptions, restricting or limiting CGPH's ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries to, among other things: (i) incur additional debt or issue certain preferred shares; (ii) pay dividends on or make distributions in respect of their capital stock or make other restricted payments; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell certain assets; (v) create liens on certain assets to secure debt; (vi) consolidate, merge, sell, or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of their assets; (vii) enter into certain transactions with their affiliates and (viii) designate their subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries. The 2022 Notes also contain customary affirmative covenants and customary events of default, subject to customary or agreed-upon exceptions, baskets and thresholds (including equity cure provisions).

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the assets of Harrah's New Orleans, Bally's Las Vegas, Planet Hollywood and The LINQ Hotel & Casino were pledged as collateral for the 2022 Notes.

Intercreditor Agreement and Collateral Agreements

On May 20, 2014, intercreditor and collateral agreements were entered into which establish the subordination of the liens securing the 2022 Notes to the liens securing first priority lien obligations and secures the payment and performance when due of all of the obligations under the 2022 Notes and the \$1.325 billion senior secured credit facilities (the "Senior Secured Credit Facilities"), which consist of the CGPH Term Loan and the Revolving Credit Facility, the related guarantees and the security documents. Subject to the terms of the security documents, CGPH and the subsidiary guarantors have the right to remain in possession and retain exclusive control of the collateral securing the 2022 Notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities (other than certain assets and obligations), to freely operate the collateral and to collect, invest and dispose of any income therefrom.

Cromwell Credit Facility

In November 2012, Corner Investment Propco, LLC ("PropCo"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Cromwell, entered into a \$185.0 million, seven-year senior secured credit facility bearing interest at LIBOR plus 9.75% with a LIBOR floor of 1.25% (the "Cromwell Credit Facility") to fund the renovation of the former Bill's Gamblin' Hall and Saloon into a boutique lifestyle hotel, rebranded as The Cromwell. The renovation included a complete remodeling of the guest rooms, casino floor, and common areas, the addition of a second floor restaurant, and the construction of an approximately 65,000 square foot rooftop pool and dayclub/nightclub. The Cromwell owns the property and the dayclub/nightclub is leased to a third party. The Cromwell's gaming floor opened on April 21, 2014 and its 188 hotel rooms became available to guests starting on May 21, 2014.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the book value of the Cromwell Credit Facility was presented net of the unamortized discount of \$2.7 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, and net of unamortized debt issuance costs of \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. The effective interest rate was 11.93% as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The Cromwell Credit Facility also contains certain affirmative and negative covenants and requires PropCo to maintain a SSLR of no more than 5.25 to 1.00 during the first quarter of 2016, which is the ratio of PropCo's first lien senior secured net debt to consolidated PropCo EBITDA. The SSLR from the second quarter of 2016 through the first quarter of 2017 may not exceed 5.00 to 1.00. The SSLR beginning in the second quarter of 2017 and for each fiscal quarter thereafter may not exceed 4.75 to 1.00. As of March 31, 2017, PropCo's SSLR was 4.65 to 1.00.

The Cromwell Credit Facility allows the right to cure provided that (i) in each eight-fiscal-quarter period there shall be no more than five fiscal quarters in which the cure right is exercised and (ii) the cure right may not be exercised in any fiscal quarter that immediately follows two consecutive fiscal quarters in which it was exercised.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the assets of The Cromwell were pledged as collateral for the Cromwell Credit Facility.

Special Improvement District Bonds

In 2008, Bally's Las Vegas entered into a District Financing Agreement with Clark County, Nevada (the "County"). In accordance with the agreement, the County issued Special Improvement District Bonds to finance land improvements at Bally's Las Vegas and at an affiliate casino property, Caesars Palace. Of the total bonds issued by the County, \$16.5 million was related to Bally's Las Vegas. These bonds bear interest at 5.30%, have principal and interest payments on June 1st of every year and interest only payments on December 1st of every year. The Special Improvement District Bonds mature on August 1, 2037.

Note 7 — Financial Instruments

Restricted Cash

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company had \$3.6 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, of restricted cash related to Harrah's New Orleans to guarantee workers' compensation payments and for capital replacements required under the Rivergate Development Corporation lease agreement.

Investment in CES

Investment in CES, further described in Note 14 — Related Party Transactions, consists of membership interests in CES which is a variable interest entity of which we own less than 20% and are not the primary beneficiary and therefore, we account for our investment using the equity method. Initial contributions by the Members included a \$22.5 million cash payment by CGP LLC on behalf of CGPH. Pursuant to capital calls during the three months ended March 31, 2016, CGPH contributed an additional \$0.7 million to CES. During the three months ended March 31, 2017, CGPH's investment in CES decreased by \$0.5 million due to the allocation of depreciation related to assets in the investment.

Note 8 — Casino Promotional Allowances

The retail value of accommodations, food and beverage, and other services furnished to guests without charge is included in gross revenues and then deducted as Casino promotional allowances.

The estimated retail value of such Casino promotional allowances is included in Net revenues as follows:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Food and beverage	\$ 22.8	\$ 25.0
Rooms	21.3	23.4
Other	2.8	2.7
	<u>\$ 46.9</u>	<u>\$ 51.1</u>

The estimated cost of providing such promotional allowances is included in Operating expenses as follows:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Food and beverage	\$ 12.4	\$ 13.8
Rooms	6.2	6.7
Other	1.4	1.6
	<u>\$ 20.0</u>	<u>\$ 22.1</u>

Note 9 — Write-downs, Reserves and Project Opening Costs, Net of Recoveries

Write-downs, reserves and project opening costs, net of recoveries include project opening costs, remediation costs, costs associated with efficiency projects, project write-offs, demolition costs and other non-routine transactions, net of recoveries.

The components of Write-downs, reserves and project opening costs, net of recoveries are as follows:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Divestitures and abandonments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5.5	\$ 0.3
Remediation costs	—	0.1
Other	—	(0.2)
	<u>\$ 5.5</u>	<u>\$ 0.2</u>

⁽¹⁾ Divestitures and abandonments were primarily comprised of demolition costs related to projects in development.

Note 10 — Income Taxes

CGPH is a disregarded entity for income tax purposes whereby all income or loss is passed through to its parent company, CGP LLC, which is treated as a flow through entity for income tax purposes.

CGPH classifies reserves for tax uncertainties within Deferred credits and other in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, separate from any related income tax payable or deferred income taxes. Reserve amounts relate to any potential income tax liabilities resulting from uncertain tax positions as well as potential interest or penalties associated with those liabilities. CGPH had no reserves for tax uncertainties as of March 31, 2017 or December 31, 2016.

Note 11 — Fair Value Measurements

The fair value hierarchy defines fair value as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based upon assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The fair value hierarchy establishes three tiers, which prioritize the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

<i>Level 1:</i>	Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that are accessible at the measurement date;
<i>Level 2:</i>	Inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and
<i>Level 3:</i>	Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

Our assessment of goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment includes an assessment using various Level 2 (EBITDA multiples and discount rate) and Level 3 (forecasted cash flows) inputs.

Entities are permitted to choose to measure certain financial instruments and other items at fair value. We have not elected the fair value measurement option for any of our assets or liabilities that meet the criteria for this option.

We had no assets or liabilities that were required to be measured at fair value at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Items Disclosed at Fair Value

Debt

As of March 31, 2017, our outstanding debt with third parties had an estimated fair value of \$2,067.3 million and a book value of \$1,961.0 million. As of December 31, 2016, our outstanding debt with third parties had an estimated fair value of \$2,076.3 million and a book value of \$1,962.5 million.

We calculate the fair value of debt based on borrowing rates available as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, for debt with similar terms and maturities, and based on market quotes of our publicly traded debt. We classify the fair value of debt within Level 1 and Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Note 12 — Litigation, Contractual Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

CEOC Bondholder Litigation, or Noteholder Disputes

On August 4, 2014, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, solely in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for the 10% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "Notes"), on behalf of itself and, it alleges, derivatively on behalf of CEOC, filed a lawsuit (the "Delaware Second Lien Lawsuit") in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware against CEC, CEOC, CGP LLC, CAC, Caesars Entertainment Resort Properties, LLC ("CERP"), CES, Eric Hession, Gary Loveman, Jeffrey D. Benjamin, David Bonderman, Kelvin L. Davis, Marc C. Rowan, David B. Sambur, and Eric Press. The lawsuit alleges claims for breach of contract, intentional and constructive fraudulent transfer, breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, and corporate waste. The lawsuit seeks (1) an award of money damages; (2) to void certain transfers, the earliest of which dates back to 2010; (3) an injunction directing the recipients of the assets in these transactions to return them to CEOC; (4) a declaration that CEC remains liable under the parent guarantee formerly applicable to the Notes; (5) to impose a constructive trust or equitable lien on the transferred assets; and (6) an award to the plaintiffs for their attorneys' fees and costs. The only claims against CAC and CGP LLC are for intentional and constructive fraudulent transfer. CAC and CGP LLC believe this lawsuit is without merit and will defend themselves vigorously. A motion to dismiss this action was filed by CEC and other defendants in September 2014, and the motion was argued in December 2014. During the pendency of its Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings, the action has been automatically stayed with respect to CEOC. The motion to dismiss with respect to CEC was denied on March 18, 2015. In a Verified Supplemental Complaint filed on August 3, 2015, the plaintiff stated that due to CEOC's bankruptcy filing, the continuation of all claims was stayed pursuant to the bankruptcy except for Claims II, III, and X. These are claims against CEC only, for breach of contract in respect of the release of the parent guarantee formerly applicable to the Notes, for declaratory relief in respect of the release of this guarantee, and for violations of the Trust Indenture Act in respect of the release of this guarantee. CEC has informed us that fact discovery in the case is substantially complete, and cross-motions for summary judgment have been filed by the parties. On October 5, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court granted CEOC's motion for a stay of this proceeding (and others). On January 26, 2017, the Bankruptcy Court extended the stay to remain in effect until the earlier of (a) the effective date of the plan of reorganization confirmed on January 17, 2017, (b) the termination of any restructuring support agreement with the Official Committee of Second Priority Noteholders (the "Second Lien RSA") or (c) further order of the Bankruptcy Court.

On September 3, 2014, holders of approximately \$21 million of CEOC Senior Unsecured Notes due 2016 and 2017 filed suit in federal district court in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against CEC and CEOC, claiming broadly that an August 12, 2014 Note Purchase and Support Agreement between CEC and CEOC (on the one hand) and certain other holders of the CEOC Senior Unsecured Notes (on the other hand) impaired their own rights under the Senior Unsecured Notes. The lawsuit seeks both declaratory and monetary relief. On October 2, 2014, other holders of CEOC Senior Unsecured Notes due 2016 purporting to represent a class of all holders of these Notes from August 11, 2014 to the present filed a substantially similar suit in the same court, against the same defendants, relating to the same transactions. Both lawsuits (the "Senior Unsecured Lawsuits") were assigned to the same judge. The claims against CEOC have been automatically stayed during its Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings. The court denied a motion to dismiss both lawsuits with respect to CEC. The parties have completed fact discovery with respect to both plaintiffs' claims against CEC. On October 23, 2015, plaintiffs in the Senior Unsecured Lawsuits moved for partial summary judgment, and on December 29, 2015, those motions were denied. On December 4, 2015, plaintiff in the action brought on behalf of holders of CEOC's 6.50% Senior Unsecured Notes moved for class certification and briefing has been completed. The judge presiding over these cases thereafter retired, and a new judge was appointed to preside over these lawsuits. That judge set a new summary judgment briefing schedule, and the parties filed cross-motions for summary judgment which remain pending. On October 5, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court granted CEOC's motion for a stay of these proceedings (and others). On January 26, 2017, the Bankruptcy Court extended the stay to remain in effect until the earlier of (a) the effective date of the plan of reorganization confirmed on January 17, 2017, (b) the termination of the Second Lien RSA or (c) further order of the Bankruptcy Court.

On November 25, 2014, UMB Bank ("UMB"), as successor indenture trustee for CEOC's 8.5% senior secured notes due 2020, filed a verified complaint (the "Delaware First Lien Lawsuit") in Delaware Chancery Court against CEC, CEOC, CERP, CAC, CGP LLC, CES, and against an individual, and past and present members of the CEC and CEOC Boards of Directors, Gary Loveman, Jeffrey Benjamin, David Bonderman, Kelvin Davis, Eric Press, Marc Rowan, David Sambur, Eric Hession, Donald Colvin, Fred Kleisner, Lynn Swann, Chris Williams, Jeffrey Housenbold, Michael Cohen, Ronen Stauber, and Steven Winograd, alleging generally that defendants have improperly stripped CEOC of prized assets, have wrongfully affected a release of a CEC parental guarantee of CEOC debt and have committed other wrongs. Among other things, UMB has asked the court to appoint a receiver over CEOC. In addition, the Delaware First Lien Lawsuit pleads claims for fraudulent conveyances/transfers, insider preferences, illegal dividends, declaratory judgment (for breach of contract as regards to the parent guarantee and also as to certain covenants in the bond indenture), tortious interference with contract, breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, usurpation of corporate opportunities, and unjust enrichment, and seeks monetary and equitable as well as declaratory relief. CAC and CGP LLC believe this lawsuit is without merit and will defend themselves vigorously. All of the defendants have moved to dismiss the lawsuit, and that motion has been fully briefed. In addition, this lawsuit has been automatically stayed with respect to CEOC during the Chapter 11 process and, pursuant to the (a) Fifth Amended and Restated Restructuring Support and Forbearance Agreement dated October 7, 2015, with certain holders of claims in respect of claims under CEOC's first lien notes (the "First Lien Bond RSA") and (b) Restructuring Support and Forbearance Agreement dated August 21, 2015, with certain holders of claims in respect of claims under CEOC's first lien credit agreement (the "First Lien Bank RSA" and, together with the First Lien Bond RSA, the "RSAs"), has been subject to a consensual stay for all.

On February 13, 2015, Caesars Entertainment received a Demand For Payment of Guaranteed Obligations (the "February 13 Notice") from Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, in its capacity as successor Trustee for CEOC's 10.00% Second-Priority Notes. The February 13 Notice alleges that CEOC's commencement of its voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy case constituted an event of default under the indenture governing the 10.00% Second-Priority Notes; that all amounts due and owing on the 10.00% Second-Priority Notes therefore immediately became payable; and that Caesars Entertainment is responsible for paying CEOC's obligations on the 10.00% Second-Priority Notes, including CEOC's obligation to timely pay all principal, interest, and any premium due on these notes, as a result of a parent guarantee provision contained in the indenture governing the notes that the February 13 Notice alleges is still binding. The February 13 Notice accordingly demands that Caesars Entertainment immediately pay Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, cash in an amount of not less than \$3.7 billion, plus accrued and unpaid interest (including without limitation the \$184 million interest payment due December 15, 2014 that CEOC elected not to pay) and accrued and unpaid attorneys' fees and other expenses. The February 13 Notice also alleges that the interest, fees and expenses continue to accrue. CAC and CGP LLC are not parties to this demand.

On February 18, 2015, Caesars Entertainment received a Demand For Payment of Guaranteed Obligations (the "February 18 Notice") from BOKF, N.A. ("BOKF"), in its capacity as successor Trustee for CEOC's 12.75% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "12.75% Second-Priority Notes"). The February 18 Notice alleges that CEOC's commencement of its voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy case constituted an event of default under the indenture governing the 12.75% Second-Priority Notes; that all amounts due and owing on the 12.75% Second-Priority Notes therefore immediately became payable; and that CEC is responsible for paying CEOC's obligations on the 12.75% Second-Priority Notes, including CEOC's obligation to timely pay all principal, interest and any premium due on these notes, as a result of a parent guarantee provision contained in the indenture governing the notes that the February 18 Notice alleges is still binding. The February 18 Notice therefore demands that CEC immediately pay BOKF cash in an amount of not less than \$750 million, plus accrued and unpaid interest, accrued and unpaid attorneys' fees, and other expenses. The February 18 Notice also alleges that the interest, fees and expenses continue to accrue. CAC and CGP LLC are not parties to this demand.

On March 3, 2015, BOKF filed a lawsuit (the "New York Second Lien Lawsuit") against CEC in federal district court in Manhattan, in its capacity as successor trustee for CEOC's 12.75% Second-Priority Notes. On June 15, 2015, UMB filed a lawsuit (the "New York First Lien Lawsuit") against CEC, also in federal district court in Manhattan, in its capacity as successor trustee for CEOC's 11.25% Senior Secured Notes due 2017, 8.50% Senior Secured Notes due 2020, and 9.00% Senior Secured Notes due 2020. Plaintiffs in these actions allege that CEOC's filing of its voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy case constitutes an event of default under the indenture governing these notes, causing all principal and interest to become immediately due and payable, and that CEC is obligated to make those payments pursuant to a parent guarantee provision in the indentures governing these notes that plaintiffs allege are still binding. Both plaintiffs bring claims for violation of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, breach of contract, breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing and for declaratory relief and BOKF brings an additional claim for intentional interference with contractual relations. The cases were assigned to the same judge presiding over the other Parent Guarantee Lawsuits, as defined below. CEC filed its answer to the BOKF complaint on March 25, 2015, and to the UMB complaint on August 10, 2015. On June 25, 2015, and June 26, 2015, BOKF and UMB, respectively, moved for partial summary judgment, specifically on their claims alleging a violation of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, seeking both declaratory relief and damages. On August 27, 2015, those motions were denied. The court, on its own motion, certified its order with respect to the interpretation of the Trust Indenture Act for interlocutory appeal to the United

States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and on December 22, 2015, the appellate court denied CEC's motion for leave to appeal. On November 20, 2015, BOKF and UMB again moved for partial summary judgment. Those motions likewise were denied. The judge presiding over these cases thereafter retired, and a new judge was appointed to preside over these lawsuits. That judge set a new summary judgment briefing schedule, and the parties submitted cross-motions for summary judgment which remain pending. On October 5, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court granted CEOC's motion for a stay of the New York First Lien Lawsuit and the New York Second Lien Lawsuit (and others). On January 26, 2017, the Bankruptcy Court extended the stay to remain in effect until the earlier of (a) the effective date of the plan of reorganization confirmed on January 17, 2017, (b) the termination of the Second Lien RSA or (c) further order of the Bankruptcy Court.

On October 20, 2015, Wilmington Trust, National Association ("Wilmington Trust"), filed a lawsuit (the "New York Senior Notes Lawsuit" and, together with the Delaware Second Lien Lawsuit, the Delaware First Lien Lawsuit, the Senior Unsecured Lawsuits, the New York Second Lien Lawsuit, and the New York First Lien Lawsuit, the "Parent Guarantee Lawsuits") against CEC in federal district court in Manhattan in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for CEOC's 10.75% Senior Notes due 2016 (the "10.75% Senior Notes"). Plaintiff alleges that CEC is obligated to make payment of amounts due on the 10.75% Senior Notes pursuant to a parent guarantee provision in the indenture governing those notes that plaintiff alleges is still in effect. Plaintiff raises claims for violations of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, breach of contract, breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, and for declaratory judgment, and seeks monetary and declaratory relief. CEC filed its answer to the complaint on November 23, 2015. As with the other parent guarantee lawsuits taking place in Manhattan, the judge presiding over these cases retired and a new judge was appointed to preside over these lawsuits. That judge set a new summary judgment briefing schedule and the parties submitted cross-motions for summary judgment which remain pending. On October 5, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court granted CEOC's motion for a stay of this proceeding (and others). On January 26, 2017, the Bankruptcy Court extended the stay to remain in effect until the earlier of (a) the effective date of the plan of reorganization confirmed on January 17, 2017, (b) the termination of the Second Lien RSA or (c) further order of the Bankruptcy Court.

In accordance with the terms of the applicable indentures and as previously disclosed, it is our understanding that CEC believes that it is not subject to the above-described guarantees. As a result, it is our understanding that CEC believes the demands for payment are without merit. The claims against CEOC have been stayed due to the Chapter 11 process and, except as described above, the actions against CEC have been allowed to continue.

We believe that the claims and demands described above against CAC and CGP LLC in the Delaware First Lien Lawsuit and Delaware Second Lien Lawsuit are without merit and intend to defend ourselves vigorously. For the Delaware First Lien Lawsuit and Delaware Second Lien Lawsuit, at the present time, we believe it is not probable that a material loss will result from the outcome of these matters. However, given the uncertainty of litigation, we cannot provide assurance as to the outcome of these matters or of the range of reasonably possible losses should the matters ultimately be resolved against us. Should these matters ultimately be resolved through litigation outside of the financial restructuring of CEOC, which such matters we believe would likely be long and protracted, and were a court to find in favor of the claimants in the Delaware First Lien Lawsuit or the Delaware Second Lien Lawsuit, such determination could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

As part of CEOC's bankruptcy proceeding, the Official Committee of Second Priority Noteholders ("Second Priority Noteholders") filed a standing motion in bankruptcy court on May 13, 2016 seeking standing to commence claims on behalf of CEOC's estate. The proposed complaint names as potential defendants CAC, CGP LLC, Caesars Interactive Entertainment, LLC ("CIE", formerly Caesars Interactive Entertainment, Inc.), and CES as well as CEC and CERP among others, and seeks recovery of assets transferred from CEOC. The proposed complaint alleges claims on behalf of CEOC's estate ranging in value from \$8.1 billion to \$12.6 billion against all defendants, as valued by the Second Priority Noteholders. Of this amount, the Second Priority Noteholders allege potential claims against CAC, CGP LLC, and CIE ranging from \$3.7 billion to \$7.9 billion, without taking into account any duplicative recovery, based on calculations in an exhibit to the revised disclosure statement filed in the bankruptcy court on May 27, 2016. A hearing on the standing motion was held on October 19, 2016, and the standing motion was continued until January 17, 2017. Following the confirmation of the CEOC restructuring plan on January 17, 2017, the bankruptcy court continued the Second Priority Noteholders' standing motion until June 21, 2017.

On October 5, 2016, CEOC announced the execution of, or amendment and restatement of, restructuring support agreements with representatives of all of CEOC's major creditor groups, as well as agreement to the terms of CEOC's third amended plan of reorganization. Included among these was the Second Lien RSA. Pursuant to the terms of the Second Lien RSA, CEOC and the Second Priority Noteholders have agreed to stay certain discovery deadlines and to hold in abeyance various proceedings pending before the bankruptcy court. The Second Priority Noteholders' standing motion, various claim objections and motions to compel will all be held in abeyance until (a) the date on which CEOC and each of the debtors (together with CEOC, the "Debtors") third amended plan becomes effective or (b) seven days after the termination of the Second Lien RSA for any reason, whichever is earlier. The Second Lien RSA further requires the consenting Second Priority Noteholders to vote in favor of the plan.

On August 9, 2016, CEOC and certain of its affiliates, each debtor in the Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings, filed an adversary complaint as part of the Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding against CAC, CIE, CGP LLC, and CGPH, among others, including CEC, CES, and certain current and former directors of CEOC and CEC. In this adversary complaint, the plaintiffs assert claims against CAC for actual and constructive fraudulent conveyances and transfers. The plaintiffs allege, among other things, that certain transactions in which CAC purchased assets from CEOC constituted fraudulent conveyances, and that CAC did not provide CEOC with reasonably equivalent value for the assets acquired. The plaintiffs also claim certain transactions involving CIE constituted fraudulent transfers. The plaintiffs seek, among other relief, avoidance and/or rescission of the disputed transactions; return of assets transferred in those transactions; compensation from defendants for CEOC's alleged losses and damages; and an award to plaintiffs of the costs of the actions, including attorney's fees.

CAC, CIE, CGP LLC, and CGPH believe the above-referenced adversary complaint is without merit and intend to defend it vigorously, including by filing a motion to dismiss at the appropriate time. The status and timing of the adversary proceeding is affected by the Bankruptcy proceedings. On August 10, 2016, CEOC filed an emergency motion seeking, among other relief, to stay the above-referenced adversary proceeding. On August 23, 2016, the bankruptcy court granted the relief requested until the October 19, 2016 omnibus hearing. At the October 19, 2016 omnibus hearing, the bankruptcy court continued the adversary proceeding until the November 16, 2016 omnibus hearing. Following the confirmation of the CEOC restructuring plan on January 17, 2017, the bankruptcy court continued the adversary proceeding until June 21, 2017.

Report of Bankruptcy Examiner

The Bankruptcy Court previously engaged an independent examiner to investigate possible claims CEOC might have against CEC, CAC, CGP LLC, other entities and certain individuals. On March 15, 2016, the examiner released his report in redacted form (to the public) and in unredacted form (to certain entities and individuals). On May 16, 2016, the examiner issued a substantially unredacted version of his report. CAC, CGP LLC and CIE do not have access to the unredacted report, and accordingly the description below is based on the substantially unredacted publicly-available report.

The examiner's report identifies a variety of potential claims against CAC, CGP LLC, CIE, other entities and certain individuals related to a number of transactions dating back to 2009. Most of the examiner's findings are based on his view that CEOC was "insolvent" at the time of the applicable transactions. The examiner's report includes his conclusions on the relative strength of these possible claims, many of which are described above. The examiner calculates an estimated range of potential damages for these potential claims as against all parties from \$3.6 billion to \$5.1 billion. The examiner calculates an estimated range of potential damages for potential claims against CAC, CGP LLC and CIE from \$1.7 billion to \$2.3 billion, ignoring potential duplication of recovery from other defendants. Neither calculation takes into account probability of success, likelihood of collection, or the time or cost of litigation.

Although this report was prepared at the request of the Bankruptcy Court, none of the findings are legally binding on the Bankruptcy Court or any party. CAC, CGP LLC and CIE contest many of the examiner's findings, including his finding that CEOC did not receive fair value for assets transferred, any suggestion that certain of the potential claims against CAC, CGP LLC and CIE have merit, and his calculation of potential damages. CAC, CGP LLC and its subsidiaries believe that each of the disputed transactions involving them provided substantial value to CEOC that was reasonably equivalent to the value of the asset(s) transferred, and that they at all times acted in good faith.

National Retirement Fund

In January 2015, a majority of the Trustees of the National Retirement Fund ("NRF"), a multi-employer defined benefit pension plan, voted to expel CEC and its participating subsidiaries, the CEC Group, from the plan. Neither CAC, CGPH nor any of their subsidiaries are part of the CEC Group. NRF claims that CEOC's bankruptcy presents an "actuarial risk" to the plan because, depending on the outcome of the bankruptcy proceeding, CEC might no longer be liable to the plan for any partial or complete withdrawal liability. NRF has advised the CEC Group that its expulsion has triggered withdrawal liability with a present value of approximately \$360 million, payable in 80 quarterly payments of about \$6 million.

Prior to NRF's vote, the CEC Group reiterated its commitment to remain in the plan and not seek rejection of any collective bargaining agreements in which the obligation to contribute to NRF exists. The CEC Group was current with respect to pension contributions at the time of their expulsion.

On December 5, 2016, an interlocutory judgment was entered against CEC and CERP comprising the first quarterly payment of withdrawal liability referred to above, interest and liquidated damages under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. On December 19, 2016, CEC and CERP filed a motion to certify a final judgment under Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for immediate appeal and to stay the plaintiffs' motions to amend and for summary judgment, as described below. On January 11, 2017, the District Court granted the motion to certify a final judgment under Rule 54(b) in the amount of \$9 million, but denied the motion for a stay, and a judgment in that amount was entered the next day. CEC has appealed this decision to the Second Circuit, and has bonded the judgment pending appeal.

On December 23, 2016, the plaintiffs filed a motion to amend their complaint to add claims for the second through eighth quarterly payments of withdrawal liability, which the plaintiffs contended were past due, as well as for injunctive relief requiring the defendants to pay all further quarterly payments as they purportedly became due. Also on December 23, 2016, the plaintiffs simultaneously filed a motion for summary judgment against CEC and CERP for payment of the second through eighth quarterly payments of withdrawal liability, for interest, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and for injunctive relief requiring the defendants to pay all further quarterly payments as they purportedly became due. The magistrate judge has not yet ruled on these motions.

On March 13, 2017, CEC, CERP, CEOC (on behalf of itself and each of the Debtors and its other direct and indirect subsidiaries), the NRF, the NRF's Legacy Plan, the NRF's Trustees, and others entered into a Settlement Agreement (the "NRF Settlement Agreement"). Under the NRF Settlement Agreement, on the effective date of CEOC's plan of reorganization, CEC would pay \$45 million to the NRF (the "NRF Payments") in three different baskets: (1) a settlement basket consisting of \$10 million as litigation settlement and \$5 million for legal fee reimbursement; (2) a contribution basket consisting of \$15 million, which sum will grow at 3.1% per year and which, beginning 17.5 years after the plan effective date, will be applied to offset the first \$8 million of contributions to the Legacy Plan annually until completely utilized; and (3) a withdrawal liability basket of \$15 million, which does not grow, to be applied if there is a partial or complete withdrawal at any time after the plan effective date. Upon the NRF Payments being made, mutual releases will be exchanged between the CEC-affiliated parties and the NRF-affiliated parties to the NRF Settlement Agreement. On March 20, 2017, the Debtors moved for the NRF Settlement Agreement to be approved by the Bankruptcy Court. Litigation among the parties would be stayed upon Bankruptcy Court approval of the Settlement Agreement, and would be dismissed with prejudice once the Debtors' reorganization plan takes effect and the NRF Payments are made. On April 19, 2017, the Bankruptcy Court approved the NRF Settlement Agreement, and the parties have filed joint requests to stay all actions and appeals pending the settlement becoming final.

Other Matters

In recent years, governmental authorities have been increasingly focused on anti-money laundering ("AML") policies and procedures, with a particular focus on the gaming industry. In October 2013, CEOC's subsidiary, Desert Palace, Inc. (the owner of and referred to herein as Caesars Palace), received a letter from the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network of the United States Department of the Treasury ("FinCEN"), stating that FinCEN was investigating Caesars Palace for alleged violations of the Bank Secrecy Act to determine whether it is appropriate to assess a civil penalty and/or take additional enforcement action against Caesars Palace. Caesars Palace responded to FinCEN's letter in January 2014. Additionally, CEC was informed in October 2013 that a federal grand jury investigation regarding anti-money laundering practices of CEC and its subsidiaries had been initiated. CEC and Caesars Palace have been cooperating with FinCEN, the Department of Justice and the Nevada Gaming Control Board (the "GCB") on this matter. On September 8, 2015, FinCEN announced a settlement pursuant to which Caesars Palace agreed to an \$8 million civil penalty for its violations of the Bank Secrecy Act, which penalty shall be treated as a general unsecured claim in Caesars Palace's bankruptcy proceedings. In addition, Caesars Palace agreed to conduct periodic external audits and independent testing of its AML compliance program, report to FinCEN on mandated improvements, adopt a rigorous training regime, and engage in a "look-back" for suspicious transactions. The terms of the FinCEN settlement were approved by the bankruptcy court on October 19, 2015.

CEOC and the GCB reached a settlement on the same facts as above, wherein CEC agreed to pay \$1.5 million and provide to the GCB the same information that is reported to FinCEN and to resubmit its updated AML policies. On September 17, 2015, the settlement agreement was approved by the Nevada Gaming Commission. CEOC continues to cooperate with the Department of Justice in its investigation of this matter.

The Company is party to ordinary and routine litigation incidental to our business. We do not expect the outcome of any such litigation to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows, as we do not believe it is reasonably possible that we will incur material losses as a result of such litigation.

Harrah's New Orleans Operating Agreement

Harrah's New Orleans operates under a casino operating contract with the Louisiana Economic Development and Gaming Corporation, as amended and restated on various occasions. The term of the amended casino operating contract expired in July 2014 and automatically renewed for 10 years. As amended, the contract requires Harrah's New Orleans to make minimum annual payments to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board equal to the greater of 21.5% of gross gaming revenues from Harrah's New Orleans in the applicable casino operating contract fiscal year or \$60.0 million for each annual period beginning after April 1, 2002. In addition, Harrah's New Orleans is required to pay an override on gross gaming revenues equal to (i) 1.5% of gross gaming revenues between \$500.0 million and \$700.0 million; (ii) 3.5% for gross gaming revenues between \$700.0 million and \$800.0 million; (iii) 5.5% for gross gaming revenues between \$800.0 million and \$900.0 million; and (iv) 7.5% for gross gaming revenues in excess of \$900.0 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, Harrah's New Orleans paid \$14.8 million and \$14.9 million, respectively, to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board.

CEOC PropCo Call Right Agreement

After the CEOC restructuring, CEOC PropCo will have a call right for up to five years to purchase and leaseback the real property assets associated with Harrah's New Orleans from CGPH for a cash purchase price of ten times the agreed upon annual rent (subject to the terms of the CGPH credit agreement). The initial rent under the agreement will be determined based on a rent-to-earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and rent ("EBITDAR") ratio of 1.00-to-1.67. CEOC PropCo's purchase price will be determined by multiplying Harrah's New Orleans property's initial rent by 10. A range of loss related to the call right is unable to be estimated due to uncertainty regarding the renegotiation of certain terms that allow the call right to be exercised for this property.

Planet Hollywood Energy Services Agreement

Planet Hollywood's predecessor entered into an Energy Services Agreement ("ESA") with Northwind Aladdin, LLC ("Northwind") on September 24, 1998, subject to five subsequent amendments. Under the terms of the amended ESA, Northwind is required to provide chilled water, hot water and emergency power to Planet Hollywood from a central utility plant for a term that expires February 29, 2020. As of March 31, 2017, Planet Hollywood had future minimum commitments and contingencies of \$3.3 million related to the amended ESA.

Insurance Accruals

CGPH's properties are insured for workers' compensation, property, general liability and other insurance coverage through Caesars Entertainment. See Note 14 — Related Party Transactions for additional information.

Entertainment Commitments

In July 2013, Planet Hollywood entered into a performance agreement with Britney Spears pursuant to which Ms. Spears agreed to perform at The AXIS starting in December 2013. The original performance agreement ran through the end of 2015. In September 2015, Planet Hollywood and Ms. Spears entered into a new performance agreement pursuant to which Ms. Spears agreed to continue to perform at The AXIS through December 2017. In November 2015, Planet Hollywood finalized its performance agreement with Jennifer Lopez pursuant to which Ms. Lopez agreed to perform at The AXIS starting in January 2016. The performance agreements with Ms. Spears and Ms. Lopez contain customary representations, warranties, covenants and agreements and exclusivity and non-compete provisions for similar transactions. As of March 31, 2017, CGPH's future commitments aggregate to approximately \$37.7 million.

Management Fees to Related Party

See Note 14 — Related Party Transactions for discussion of management fees to related party.

Uncertainties

Since 2009, Harrah's New Orleans has undergone audits by state and local departments of revenue related to sales taxes on hotel rooms, parking and entertainment complimentarys. The periods that have been or are currently being audited are 2004 through 2013. In connection with these audits, certain periods have been paid under protest or are currently in various stages of litigation. As a result of these audits, Harrah's New Orleans had accrued \$5.6 million at both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Note 13 — Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

The following table provides a reconciliation of cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash reported on our Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets that sum amounts reported in our Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows.

(In millions)	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 182.8	\$ 164.6
Restricted cash - short-term	3.6	2.6
Total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	<u>\$ 186.4</u>	<u>\$ 167.2</u>

Restricted cash is further described in Note 7 — Financial Instruments.

Changes in Working Capital Accounts

The net change in cash and cash equivalents due to the changes in working capital accounts were as follows:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Receivables	\$ (8.1)	\$ (1.7)
Prepayments and other current assets	(4.0)	(3.7)
Accounts payable	(2.5)	0.6
Payables to related parties	6.8	5.9
Accrued expenses and interest payable	12.7	19.7
Net change in working capital accounts	\$ 4.9	\$ 20.8

Cash paid for interest for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$23.3 million and \$24.4 million, respectively.

Significant non-cash investing activities include construction payable included in Accounts payable in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets of \$20.4 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, which remained unpaid as of March 31, 2017 and 2016 related to purchases classified as Land, property and equipment, net.

There were no cash payments or refunds related to income taxes during the periods presented herein.

Note 14 — Related Party Transactions

Formation of Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC

CES, a services joint venture among CEOC, CERP, a subsidiary of Caesars Entertainment, and the Company, (together the "Members" and each a "Member") manages our properties and provides us with access to Caesars Entertainment's management expertise, intellectual property, back office services and Total Rewards loyalty program. On October 1, 2014, CES began operations in Nevada, Louisiana and certain other jurisdictions in which regulatory approval had been received or was not required, including through the commencement of direct employment by CES of certain designated enterprise-wide employees. CES also employs personnel under each property's corresponding property management agreement. Operating expenses are allocated to each Member with respect to their respective properties serviced by CES in accordance with historical allocation methodologies, subject to annual revisions and certain prefunding requirements. Corporate expenses that are not allocated to the properties directly are allocated by CES to CEOC, CERP, and CGPH according to their allocation percentages subject to annual review. As a result of an annual review undertaken in September 2015 but effective July 2015, the allocation percentages of CES members, CEOC, CERP and CGPH were revised to 65.4%, 21.8% and 12.8%, respectively. The Company notified CES, CEOC and CERP that it objected to the September 2015 expense allocation but would pay the revised expense allocations under protest and reserved all rights. As a result of an annual review undertaken in August 2016 but effective January 2017, the allocation percentages for CEOC, CERP and CGPH were revised to 62.9%, 22.9% and 14.2%, respectively. The Company notified CES, CEOC and CERP that it objects to the August 2016 expense allocation but will pay the revised expense allocations under protest and reserves all rights.

Omnibus License and Enterprise Services Agreement

On May 20, 2014, the Members entered into an Omnibus License and Enterprise Services Agreement (the "Omnibus Agreement"), which granted licenses to the Members and certain of their affiliates in connection with the formation of CES. Pursuant to capital calls during the three months ended March 31, 2016, CGPH contributed an additional \$0.7 million to CES. During the three months ended March 31, 2017, CGPH's investment in CES decreased by \$0.5 million due to the allocation of depreciation related to assets in the investment. On October 1, 2014 and January 1, 2015, the Members transitioned certain executives and employees to CES and the services of such employees were available as part of CES's provision of services to the Members and certain of their affiliates that own properties that require CES services under the Omnibus Agreement.

Under the Omnibus Agreement, CEOC, Caesars License Company, LLC ("CLC"), Caesars World, Inc. ("CWI"), CGPH and certain of their subsidiaries that granted CES a non-exclusive, irrevocable, world-wide, royalty-free license in and to all intellectual property owned or used by such licensors, including all intellectual property (a) currently used, or contemplated to be used, in connection with the properties owned by the Members and their respective affiliates, including any and all intellectual property related to the Total Rewards program, and (b) necessary for the provision of services contemplated by the Omnibus Agreement and by the applicable management agreement for any such property (collectively, the "Enterprise Assets").

CES granted to the properties owned or controlled by the Members, and their respective affiliates, non-exclusive licenses to the Enterprise Assets. CES granted to CEOC, CLC, CWI, CGPH and the properties owned or controlled by the Members' licenses to any intellectual property that CES develops or acquires in the future that is not a derivative of the

intellectual property licensed to it. CES also granted to CEOC, CLC, CWI and CGPH a non-exclusive license to intellectual property specific to the properties controlled by CGPH, CERP and their subsidiaries for any uses consistent with the uses made by CEOC, CLC, and CWI with respect to such intellectual property prior to the date of the Omnibus Agreement.

Allocated General Corporate Expenses

The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income reflects an allocation of both expenses incurred in connection with our shared services agreements and directly billed expenses incurred through Caesars Entertainment and CES. We recorded allocated general corporate expenses and directly billed expenses totaling \$34.8 million and \$34.2 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016. The net payable balances for allocated and directly billed expenses are recorded in Payables to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets.

The allocations of general corporate expenses may not reflect the expense the Company would have incurred if it were a stand-alone company nor are they necessarily indicative of the Company's future costs. Management believes the assumptions and methodologies used in the allocation of general corporate expenses from Caesars Entertainment and CES are reasonable. Given the nature of these costs, it is not practicable for the Company to estimate what these costs would have been on a stand-alone basis.

Management Fees

Harrah's New Orleans, The LINQ Hotel & Casino, Bally's Las Vegas and The Cromwell Management Fees

Harrah's New Orleans Management Company, The Quad Manager, LLC, Bally's Las Vegas Manager, LLC and Cromwell Manager, LLC (collectively, the "Property Managers" and individually, a "Property Manager") are wholly-owned indirect subsidiaries of CEOC, and prior to the assignment of each respective management agreement to CES as of October 1, 2014, managed the operations of Harrah's New Orleans, The LINQ Hotel & Casino, Bally's Las Vegas and The Cromwell. Fees paid to the Property Managers for such services include a base management fee calculated at 2.0% of adjusted gross operating revenue plus net casino wins, and an incentive fee calculated at 5.0% of EBITDA less the base management fee. For both of the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, the fees were \$7.6 million. These fees were included in Management fees to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the payable balance related to these fees and recorded in Payables to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets were \$1.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

In May 2014, CGPH purchased a 50% interest in the management fee revenues of the Property Managers for \$138.0 million, recognized as a long-term prepaid asset included in Prepaid management fees to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. The prepaid asset will be amortized over 15 years, which represents the term of the related management contracts. During both of the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company recorded amortization in the amount of \$2.3 million, which is included in Management fees to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. Additionally, during both of the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company received 50% of the management fees paid in the amount of \$3.8 million, which is included in Management fees to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Planet Hollywood Management Fees

PHW Manager, LLC ("PHW Manager") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of CEOC, and prior to the assignment of the management agreement to CES as of October 1, 2014, managed the operations of Planet Hollywood. Fees paid to PHW Manager for such services include a base management fee calculated at 3.0% of adjusted gross operating revenue plus net casino wins, and an incentive fee calculated at 4.5% of EBITDA less the base management fee. For both of the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, the fees were \$5.6 million. These fees were included in Management fees to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the payable balances related to these fees and recorded in Payables to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets were \$1.1 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

On October 21, 2013, CGP LLC purchased a 50% interest in the management fee revenues of PHW Manager for \$70.0 million, recognized as a long-term prepaid asset included in Prepaid management fees to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets. On May 5, 2014, CGP LLC contributed the equity interests of PHWL, LLC and the 50% interest in the management fee revenues of PHW Manager to CGPH. The prepaid asset will be amortized over 35 years, which represents the term of the related management contract starting in October 2013. During both of the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company recorded amortization in the amount of \$0.5 million, which is included in Management fees to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. Additionally, for both of the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company received 50% of the Planet Hollywood management fee paid in the amount of \$2.8 million, which is included in Management fees to related parties in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Total Rewards Loyalty Program

CEOC's customer loyalty program, Total Rewards, offers incentives to customers from their spending related to on-property entertainment expenses, including gaming, hotel, dining, and retail shopping at our, CEC and CEOC's resort properties located in the U.S. and Canada. Under the program, customers are able to accumulate, or bank, Reward Credits over time that they may redeem at their discretion under the terms of the program. The Reward Credit balance will be forfeited if the customer does not earn a Reward Credit over the prior six-month period. As a result of the ability of the customer to bank the Reward Credits, CEOC estimates the cost of fulfilling the redemption of Reward Credits, after consideration of estimated forfeitures (referred to as "breakage") based upon the cost of historical redemptions. The estimated value of Reward Credits is expensed as the Reward Credits are earned by customers and is included in direct casino expense. The total estimated cost is accrued by CEOC, with the incremental charges related to our casino properties included in due to affiliates, net in the accompanying balance sheets.

Use of Bally's, Harrah's, and LINQ Trademarks

Bally's Las Vegas and Harrah's New Orleans have historically used the Bally's and Harrah's trademarks, which are owned by CEOC. CEOC has not historically charged a royalty fee for the use of these trademarks. Accordingly, no such charges were recorded in the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements. As discussed above, we entered into a management agreement with CEOC in connection with the acquisition of CGPH's properties, which include The Cromwell, The LINQ Hotel & Casino, Bally's Las Vegas and Harrah's New Orleans, which among other services, includes the use of CEOC-owned trademarks. As discussed in Formation of Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC above, these services were assumed by CES in 2014. The LINQ Hotel & Casino uses its trademark, which is owned by CLC, in connection with this agreement.

Insurance Accruals

Our properties are insured for workers' compensation, property, general liability and other insurance coverage through Caesars Entertainment and are charged premiums by Caesars Entertainment based on claims activity. We are self-insured for employee health, dental, vision and other insurance and our insurance claims and reserves include accruals of estimated settlements for known claims, as well as accruals of actuarial estimates of incurred but not reported claims. In estimating these reserves, historical loss experience and judgments about the expected levels of costs per claim are considered. These claims are accounted for based on actuarial estimates of the undiscounted claims, including those claims incurred but not reported. The use of actuarial methods to account for these liabilities provides a consistent and effective way to measure these highly judgmental accruals and was believed to be reasonable. CGPH regularly monitors the potential for changes in estimates, evaluates its insurance accruals, and adjusts its recorded provisions.

Employee Benefit Plans

Caesars Entertainment maintains a defined contribution savings and retirement plan in which certain employees of the Company may participate. The plan, among other things, provides for pretax and after-tax contributions by employees. Under the plan, participating employees may elect to contribute up to 50% of their eligible earnings, provided that participants who are designated as highly compensated will have their contributions limited to ensure the plan does not discriminate in their favor. Caesars Entertainment maintains an employer match of up to \$600 per year. The Company's reimbursement for Caesars Entertainment's contribution expense was \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Caesars Entertainment also maintains deferred compensation plans, stock-option plans and an executive supplemental savings plan under which certain employees of CGPH may defer a portion of their compensation. The expenses charged by Caesars Entertainment to CGPH are included in Property, general, administrative and other in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Multiemployer Benefit Plans

Certain employees of the Company are covered by union sponsored, collectively bargained, health and welfare multiemployer benefit plans. The Company's reimbursement for Caesars Entertainment's contributions and charges for these plans was \$8.5 million and \$8.8 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016. These expenses were included in Property, general, administrative and other in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Equity Incentive Awards

Caesars Entertainment maintains equity incentive award plans in which employees of CGPH may participate. Caesars Entertainment allocates an appropriate amount of cost for these awards to each subsidiary where employees participate. For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, allocations were \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Lease Agreements

On April 25, 2011, The LINQ Hotel & Casino entered into an agreement pursuant to which it will lease a land parcel from Caesars LINQ LLC ("The LINQ"), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Caesars Entertainment, under an operating lease with an expiration date of April 25, 2026. The land parcel is utilized by The LINQ Hotel & Casino for gaming and other space. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, The LINQ Hotel & Casino is required to pay The LINQ rent of approximately \$1.3 million per month beginning on January 1, 2014, totaling \$3.8 million for each of the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Bally's Las Vegas leases land to JGB Vegas Retail Lessee, LLC ("JGB Lessee") under a ground lease that includes annual base rent payments with annual escalations as well as an annual percentage of revenue payable should JGB Lessee revenues exceed a breakpoint as defined in the lease agreement, which is paid on a monthly basis. Rental payments began in February 2015. GB Investor, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Caesars Entertainment, has an approximate 10% ownership interest in JGB Lessee. Monthly revenues of \$0.4 million from the ground lease are currently being recognized straight-line over the term of the lease starting in December 2013 upon transfer of rights to the property through February 2035 and are included in Other revenue in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Note 15 — Consolidating Condensed Financial Information of Guarantors and Issuer

The 2022 Notes issued by CGPH ("Parent Company") and Caesars Growth Properties Finance, Inc. (included in the "Subsidiary Issuer" column below) are secured by substantially all of the existing and future property and assets of CGPH and certain wholly-owned subsidiary guarantors of CGPH ("Subsidiary Guarantors") as further discussed in Note 6 — Debt. Each subsidiary guarantor jointly and severally, irrevocably and unconditionally guarantees (1) the performance and punctual payment when due of all obligations of CGPH under the indenture and the 2022 Notes, whether for payment of principal, premium, if any, or interest in respect of the 2022 Notes and all other monetary obligations of CGPH under the indenture and the 2022 Notes and (2) the full and punctual performance within applicable grace periods of all other obligations of CGPH whether for fees, expenses, indemnification or otherwise under the indenture and the 2022 Notes (collectively called the "Guaranteed Obligations").

Each guarantee will be a continuing guarantee and shall:

1. remain in full force and effect until payment in full of all the guaranteed obligations of such Subsidiary Guarantor;
2. subject to the next succeeding paragraph, be binding upon each such Subsidiary Guarantor and its successors; and
3. inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by the trustee, the holders and their successors, transferees and assigns.

Each guarantee will be automatically released upon:

1. the sale, disposition, exchange or other transfer (including through merger, consolidation, amalgamation or otherwise) of the capital stock (including any sale, disposition or other transfer following which the applicable Subsidiary Guarantor is no longer a restricted subsidiary), of the applicable Subsidiary Guarantor if such sale, disposition, exchange or other transfer is made in a manner not in violation of the indenture;
2. the designation of such Subsidiary Guarantor as an unrestricted subsidiary;
3. the release or discharge of the guarantee by such Subsidiary Guarantor of the indebtedness which resulted in the obligation to guarantee the notes;
4. the issuers' exercise of their legal defeasance option or covenant defeasance option or if the issuers' obligations under the indenture are discharged in accordance with the terms of the indenture; and
5. such restricted subsidiary ceasing to be a subsidiary as a result of any foreclosure of any pledge or security interest in favor of the first-priority lien obligations.

The tables below present the consolidating condensed financial information as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 and for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

In lieu of providing separate unaudited financial statements for the guarantor subsidiaries, we have included the accompanying unaudited financial information based on Rule 3-10 of the SEC's Regulation S-X. Management does not believe that separate financial statements of the guarantor subsidiaries are material to our investors.

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
CONSOLIDATING CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET
MARCH 31, 2017
(In millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating / Eliminating Adjustments	Total
Assets						
Current assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 109.4	\$ —	\$ 45.7	\$ 27.7	\$ —	\$ 182.8
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	—	—	49.5	2.9	—	52.4
Restricted cash	—	—	3.6	—	—	3.6
Prepayments and other current assets	1.6	—	30.0	1.2	—	32.8
Total current assets	111.0	—	128.8	31.8	—	271.6
Land, property and equipment, net	0.8	—	1,958.8	242.6	—	2,202.2
Investment in CES	28.6	—	—	—	—	28.6
Investment in subsidiaries	2,851.8	—	—	—	(2,851.8)	—
Goodwill	—	—	214.1	—	—	214.1
Intangible assets other than goodwill, net	—	—	75.6	—	—	75.6
Prepaid management fees to related parties	—	—	160.6	13.7	—	174.3
Deferred charges and other	1.7	—	38.1	0.3	—	40.1
Total assets	\$ 2,993.9	\$ —	\$ 2,576.0	\$ 288.4	\$ (2,851.8)	\$ 3,006.5
Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity						
Current liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 33.7	\$ 1.6	\$ —	\$ 35.3
Payables to related parties	25.4	—	3.4	0.1	—	28.9
Accrued expenses	0.1	—	105.1	6.5	—	111.7
Accrued interest payable	26.6	26.4	—	2.7	(26.4)	29.3
Current portion of long-term debt	11.7	—	0.5	—	—	12.2
Total current liabilities	63.8	26.4	142.7	10.9	(26.4)	217.4
Long-term debt	1,768.4	675.0	13.3	167.1	(675.0)	1,948.8
Deferred credits and other	—	—	1.4	—	—	1.4
Total liabilities	1,832.2	701.4	157.4	178.0	(701.4)	2,167.6
Stockholder's equity						
Additional paid-in capital	1,357.6	(701.4)	2,286.8	236.6	(1,822.0)	1,357.6
(Accumulated deficit)/retained earnings	(195.9)	—	131.8	(126.2)	(328.4)	(518.7)
Total stockholder's equity	1,161.7	(701.4)	2,418.6	110.4	(2,150.4)	838.9
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	\$ 2,993.9	\$ —	\$ 2,576.0	\$ 288.4	\$ (2,851.8)	\$ 3,006.5

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
CONSOLIDATING CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET
DECEMBER 31, 2016
(In millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating / Eliminating Adjustments	Total
Assets						
Current assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 79.8	\$ —	\$ 58.2	\$ 26.6	\$ —	\$ 164.6
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	—	—	40.9	3.4	—	44.3
Restricted cash	—	—	2.6	—	—	2.6
Prepayments and other current assets	0.3	—	27.0	1.5	—	28.8
Total current assets	80.1	—	128.7	31.5	—	240.3
Land, property and equipment, net	2.0	—	1,956.2	244.6	—	2,202.8
Investment in CES	29.1	—	—	—	—	29.1
Investment in subsidiaries	2,854.9	—	—	—	(2,854.9)	—
Goodwill	—	—	214.1	—	—	214.1
Intangible assets other than goodwill, net	—	—	79.4	—	—	79.4
Prepaid management fees to related parties	—	—	163.1	14.0	—	177.1
Deferred charges and other	1.9	—	39.3	0.2	—	41.4
Total assets	\$ 2,968.0	\$ —	\$ 2,580.8	\$ 290.3	\$ (2,854.9)	\$ 2,984.2
Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity						
Current liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$ 2.1	\$ —	\$ 35.0	\$ 1.3	\$ —	\$ 38.4
Payables to related parties	19.3	—	2.7	0.1	—	22.1
Accrued expenses	—	—	107.6	6.8	—	114.4
Accrued interest payable	11.1	10.5	—	2.8	(10.5)	13.9
Current portion of long-term debt	11.8	—	0.7	—	—	12.5
Total current liabilities	44.3	10.5	146.0	11.0	(10.5)	201.3
Long-term debt	1,769.5	675.0	13.3	167.2	(675.0)	1,950.0
Deferred credits and other	—	—	1.5	—	—	1.5
Total liabilities	1,813.8	685.5	160.8	178.2	(685.5)	2,152.8
Stockholder's equity						
Additional paid-in capital	1,356.5	(685.5)	2,341.0	234.4	(1,889.9)	1,356.5
(Accumulated deficit)/retained earnings	(202.3)	—	79.0	(122.3)	(279.5)	(525.1)
Total stockholder's equity	1,154.2	(685.5)	2,420.0	112.1	(2,169.4)	831.4
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	\$ 2,968.0	\$ —	\$ 2,580.8	\$ 290.3	\$ (2,854.9)	\$ 2,984.2

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
CONSOLIDATING CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS)
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2017
(In millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating / Eliminating Adjustments	Total
Revenues						
Casino	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 167.1	\$ 11.9	\$ —	\$ 179.0
Food and beverage	—	—	57.2	6.8	—	64.0
Rooms	—	—	90.3	3.3	—	93.6
Other	—	—	46.5	2.5	—	49.0
Less: casino promotional allowances	—	—	(43.8)	(3.1)	—	(46.9)
Net revenues	—	—	317.3	21.4	—	338.7
Operating expenses						
Direct						
Casino	—	—	84.7	6.7	—	91.4
Food and beverage	—	—	24.8	3.8	—	28.6
Rooms	—	—	21.8	0.9	—	22.7
Property, general, administrative and other	6.1	—	85.2	4.5	—	95.8
Management fees to related parties	—	—	8.9	0.5	—	9.4
Write-downs, reserves and project opening costs, net of recoveries	—	—	5.5	—	—	5.5
Depreciation and amortization	0.5	—	34.1	3.9	—	38.5
Total operating expenses	6.6	—	265.0	20.3	—	291.9
(Loss)/income from operations	(6.6)	—	52.3	1.1	—	46.8
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	(35.9)	—	0.5	(5.0)	—	(40.4)
Net (loss)/income before gain on interests in subsidiaries	(42.5)	—	52.8	(3.9)	—	6.4
Income on interests in subsidiaries	48.9	—	—	—	(48.9)	—
Net income/(loss)	6.4	—	52.8	(3.9)	(48.9)	6.4
Other comprehensive income, net of income taxes						
	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total comprehensive income/(loss)	\$ 6.4	\$ —	\$ 52.8	\$ (3.9)	\$ (48.9)	\$ 6.4

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
CONSOLIDATING CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS)
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2016
(In millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors ⁽¹⁾	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating / Eliminating Adjustments	Total ⁽¹⁾
Revenues						
Casino	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 172.8	\$ 10.3	\$ —	\$ 183.1
Food and beverage	—	—	57.8	7.3	—	65.1
Rooms	—	—	89.9	3.1	—	93.0
Other	—	—	42.7	2.4	—	45.1
Less: casino promotional allowances	—	—	(48.2)	(2.9)	—	(51.1)
Net revenues	—	—	315.0	20.2	—	335.2
Operating expenses						
Direct						
Casino	—	—	86.0	6.8	—	92.8
Food and beverage	—	—	24.3	4.4	—	28.7
Rooms	—	—	21.5	0.9	—	22.4
Property, general, administrative and other	6.1	—	83.2	4.1	—	93.4
Management fees to related parties	—	—	8.9	0.5	—	9.4
Write-downs, reserves and project opening costs, net of recoveries	—	—	0.2	—	—	0.2
Depreciation and amortization	—	—	28.6	3.6	—	32.2
Total operating expenses	6.1	—	252.7	20.3	—	279.1
(Loss)/income from operations	(6.1)	—	62.3	(0.1)	—	56.1
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	(36.9)	—	(1.3)	(5.2)	—	(43.4)
Net (loss)/income before gain on interests in subsidiaries	(43.0)	—	61.0	(5.3)	—	12.7
Income on interests in subsidiaries	55.7	—	—	—	(55.7)	—
Net income/(loss)	12.7	—	61.0	(5.3)	(55.7)	12.7
Other comprehensive income, net of income taxes						
Total comprehensive income/(loss)	\$ 12.7	\$ —	\$ 61.0	\$ (5.3)	\$ (55.7)	\$ 12.7

⁽¹⁾ For the three months ended March 31, 2016, \$1.9 million was reclassified from Food and beverage revenues to Other revenues in order to align with our 2017 reporting presentation. See Basis of Presentation in Note 1 — Description of Business and Basis of Presentation.

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
CONSOLIDATING CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2017
(In millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating / Eliminating Adjustments	Total
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 35.5	\$ —	\$ 74.4	\$ 1.0	\$ (54.4)	\$ 56.5
Cash flows from investing activities						
Land, buildings and equipment additions, net of change in construction payables	(0.8)	—	(31.2)	(1.7)	—	(33.7)
Investment in subsidiaries	(2.1)	—	—	—	2.1	—
Cash flows used in investing activities	(2.9)	—	(31.2)	(1.7)	2.1	(33.7)
Cash flows from financing activities						
Repayments under lending agreements	(3.0)	—	(0.2)	(0.4)	—	(3.6)
Transactions with parents and affiliates	—	—	(54.5)	2.2	52.3	—
Cash flows (used in)/provided by financing activities	(3.0)	—	(54.7)	1.8	52.3	(3.6)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	29.6	—	(11.5)	1.1	—	19.2
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, beginning of period	79.8	—	60.8	26.6	—	167.2
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, end of period	\$ 109.4	\$ —	\$ 49.3	\$ 27.7	\$ —	\$ 186.4

CAESARS GROWTH PROPERTIES HOLDINGS, LLC
CONSOLIDATING CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2016
(In millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors ⁽¹⁾	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors ⁽¹⁾	Consolidating / Eliminating Adjustments	Total ⁽¹⁾
Cash flows provided by/(used in) operating activities	\$ 64.4	\$ —	\$ 85.4	\$ (1.2)	\$ (80.8)	\$ 67.8
Cash flows from investing activities						
Land, buildings and equipment additions, net of change in construction payables	—	—	(11.4)	(0.5)	—	(11.9)
Investment in subsidiaries	(2.6)	—	—	—	2.6	—
Additional investment in CES	(0.7)	—	—	—	—	(0.7)
Cash flows used in investing activities	(3.3)	—	(11.4)	(0.5)	2.6	(12.6)
Cash flows from financing activities						
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	15.0	—	—	—	—	15.0
Repayments under lending agreements	(48.0)	—	(0.6)	(0.2)	—	(48.8)
Transactions with parents and affiliates	—	—	(80.7)	2.5	78.2	—
Cash flows (used in)/provided by financing activities	(33.0)	—	(81.3)	2.3	78.2	(33.8)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	28.1	—	(7.3)	0.6	—	21.4
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, beginning of period	21.0	—	62.1	17.6	—	100.7
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, end of period	\$ 49.1	\$ —	\$ 54.8	\$ 18.2	\$ —	\$ 122.1

⁽¹⁾ We have early adopted ASU No. 2016-18, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash*, during the year ended December 31, 2016. In total, Restricted cash balances of \$2.6 million have been included in both Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, beginning of period and Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, end of period. For Subsidiary Guarantors, Restricted cash balances of \$2.6 million have been included in both Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, beginning of period and Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash, end of period. See Basis of Presentation in Note 1 — Description of Business and Basis of Presentation.

Note 16 — Subsequent Event

On April 27, 2017, CGPH entered into an Incremental Assumption Agreement and Amendment No. 1 (the "Loan Amendment"), by and among CGPH, Caesars Growth Properties Parent, LLC ("CGPP"), the other loan parties party thereto, the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, as Administrative Agent (the "Administrative Agent"). The Loan Amendment amends the First Lien Credit Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2014, among CGPH, CGPP, the lenders party thereto and the Administrative Agent (the "First Lien Credit Agreement").

Among other things, the Loan Amendment (a) provides for an increase of CGPH's existing term loan facility by \$175 million to approximately \$1.3 billion (the "Term Facility") and (b) reduced the interest rate margins applicable to the Term Facility and CGPH's existing \$150 million revolving credit facility.

The Loan Amendment provides that the proceeds of the \$175 million increase of the Term Facility will be held in escrow until the receipt of all required regulatory approvals, at which time the escrowed proceeds will be released to repay the property specific term loan encumbering The Cromwell, but no earlier than May 3, 2017. At such time, The Cromwell will become part of the CGPH restricted group (which is subject to certain restrictions or limitations placed on CGPH and its restricted subsidiaries) and its assets will be pledged as collateral for both the CGPH \$1.175 billion term loan and the CGPH \$675 million aggregate principal amount of 9.375% second-priority senior secured notes due 2022. If such approvals are not obtained by July 26, 2017, such \$175 million of proceeds will be repaid and The Cromwell's property specific term loan will remain outstanding.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Basis of Presentation and Discussion

Caesars Growth Properties Holdings, LLC ("CGPH," the "Borrower," the "Company," "we," "us" and "our") is an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Caesars Growth Partners, LLC ("CGP LLC"), which is a joint venture between Caesars Acquisition Company ("CAC"), a Delaware corporation, and Caesars Entertainment Corporation ("CEC" or "Caesars Entertainment").

CGPH's properties include The Cromwell, The LINQ Hotel & Casino, Bally's Las Vegas and Harrah's New Orleans (the "May 2014 Acquisitions"), and Planet Hollywood Resort and Casino ("Planet Hollywood").

Operating Results

(In millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2017	2016	Change
Net revenues	\$ 338.7	\$ 335.2	\$ 3.5
Income from operations	46.8	56.1	(9.3)
Net income	6.4	12.7	(6.3)
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	93.4	90.6	2.8

⁽¹⁾ See Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures later in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a reconciliation of Net income/(loss) to Adjusted Earnings before Interest Income/Expense, Income Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("Adjusted EBITDA").

Performance of our casino properties is measured in part through tracking of trips by rated customers, which means a customer whose gaming activity is tracked through the Total Rewards system, referred to as "trips," and spend per rated customer trip, referred to as "spend per trip." A trip is created by a Total Rewards card holder engaging in one or more of the following activities while at our property: (1) hotel stay, (2) gaming activity or (3) a comp redemption, which means the receipt of a complimentary item given out by the casino. Lodgers are guests registered with the Total Rewards program who stay at the property and non-lodgers are guests registered with the Total Rewards program not staying at the property. Customer spend means the cumulative rated theoretical spend (which is the amount of money expected to be retained by the casino based upon the mathematics underlying the particular game as a fraction of the amount of money wagered by the customer) across all game types for a specific customer. The average combined gross hold is the percentage of the amount wagered across all game types (including table games and slot machines) that the casino retained.

Casino Properties and Developments revenues were impacted primarily by the following:

- Lower casino revenues at Harrah's New Orleans due to unfavorable volume and hold when compared to the prior year.
- This decrease was partially offset by increased other revenues due to continued expansion of entertainment options; and
- Increased casino revenues at Planet Hollywood and The Cromwell due to positive variance in gross hold when compared to the prior year.

Net revenues for three months ended March 31, 2017 increased by \$3.5 million, or 1.0%, when compared with the same period in 2016. Total trips remained consistent during the three months ended March 31, 2017 when compared to the same period in 2016. Gross casino hold was 11.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016. Cash average daily room rates for the three months ended March 31, 2017 increased to \$147, or 8.1%, when compared to \$136 for the same period in 2016. Average daily occupancy was 93.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 93.7% for the same period in 2016. Revenue per available room ("RevPar") for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$133 and \$125, respectively, or an increase of 6.4%.

Income from operations for the three months ended March 31, 2017 decreased by \$9.3 million, or 16.6%, when compared with the same period in 2016, and Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2017 decreased by \$6.3 million, or 49.6%, compared with the same period in 2016. The decreases in income from operations was primarily due to an increase in depreciation expense at Planet Hollywood resulting from the acceleration of depreciation and asset write downs for assets that will be replaced as a result of renovations partially offset by the increase in revenues discussed above. Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended March 31, 2017 increased by \$2.8 million, or 3.1%, as compared with the same period in 2016 primarily due to the income impact of increased revenues.

Incentives are often provided for customers to stay and play at our properties. Incentives are provided to customers based on a number of factors such as marketing plans, competitive factors, economic conditions and regulations. These incentives come in a variety of different forms including free and discounted products, gaming credits, food and beverage credits, hotel room credits, and other forms. The retail value of accommodations, food and beverage credits and other services furnished to casino guests is included in gross revenue and then deducted as promotional allowances. Hence, net revenues as discussed above include all promotional allowances. We believe our allocation of promotional allowances to be within industry standards and appropriate for our brands and competitive environment.

Other Factors Affecting Net Income

Interest Expense, Net of Interest Capitalized

The debt agreements captioned in the following table are defined in Note 6 — Debt of the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in this report. The table below summarizes our Interest expense, net of interest capitalized as it relates to these agreements:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,		Change
	2017	2016	
CGPH Term Loan, Revolving Credit Facility, and 2022 Notes	\$ (35.9)	\$ (36.9)	\$ 1.0
Cromwell Credit Facility	(5.0)	(5.2)	0.2
Other interest income/(expense), including capitalized interest	0.5	(1.3)	1.8
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	<u>\$ (40.4)</u>	<u>\$ (43.4)</u>	<u>\$ 3.0</u>

Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

CGPH uses Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure of its financial performance. EBITDA is comprised of net income before (i) interest expense, net of capitalized interest, (ii) interest income, (iii) provision for income taxes, and (iv) depreciation and amortization expense. Adjusted EBITDA is comprised of EBITDA, further adjusted for certain items that CGPH does not consider indicative of its ongoing operating performance.

The financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure that is reconciled to its most comparable GAAP measure below. Adjusted EBITDA is included because management believes that Adjusted EBITDA provides investors with additional information that allows an understanding of the results of operational activities separate from the financial impact of capital investment decisions made for the long-term benefit of CGPH.

Because not all companies use identical calculations, the presentation of CGPH's EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

(In millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Net income	\$ 6.4	\$ 12.7
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	40.4	43.4
Depreciation and amortization	38.5	32.2
EBITDA	85.3	88.3
Stock-based compensation ⁽¹⁾	1.1	1.2
Write-downs, reserves and project opening costs, net of recoveries ⁽²⁾	5.5	0.2
Other ⁽³⁾	1.5	0.9
Adjusted EBITDA	<u>\$ 93.4</u>	<u>\$ 90.6</u>

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent non-cash stock based compensation expense.

⁽²⁾ Amounts include development costs related to the renovation of Planet Hollywood.

⁽³⁾ Amounts represent other add-backs and deductions to arrive at Adjusted EBITDA but not separately identified, such as acquisition and integration costs and severance expense.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Capital Spending

We incur capital expenditures in the normal course of business, and we perform ongoing refurbishment and maintenance at our existing casino entertainment facilities to maintain our quality standards. We may pursue development and acquisition opportunities for additional casino entertainment and other hospitality facilities that meet our strategic and return on

investment criteria. Cash used for capital expenditures in the normal course of business is typically made available from cash flows generated by our operating activities while cash used for development projects is typically funded from specific project financing and additional debt offerings.

Future capital spending and maintenance could require, individually and in the aggregate, significant capital commitments and, if completed, may result in significant additional revenues. The commitment of capital, the timing of completion, and the commencement of operations of development projects would be contingent upon, among other things, negotiation of final agreements and receipt of requisite approvals from the applicable political and regulatory bodies. In addition, we must also comply with covenants and restrictions set forth in our debt instruments, further described in Capital Resources below and in Note 6 — Debt in the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in this report.

During the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, capital expenditures net of related payables were \$33.7 million and \$11.9 million, respectively, primarily related to renovations at Planet Hollywood. Significant capital expenditures net of related payables include \$21.3 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, for Planet Hollywood during the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Liquidity

CGPH's primary sources of liquidity include currently available cash and cash equivalents, cash flows generated from its operations and the \$150.0 million revolving credit agreement (the "Revolving Credit Facility"). Payments of short-term debt obligations and other commitments are expected to be made from operating cash flows. CGPH's operating cash inflows are used for operating expenses, debt service costs, working capital needs and capital expenditures in the normal course of business. Long-term obligations are expected to be paid through operating cash flows, refinancing of existing debt or the issuance of new debt, or, if necessary, additional investments from its equity holders. CGPH's ability to refinance debt will depend upon numerous factors such as market conditions, our financial performance, and the limitations applicable to such transactions under our financing documents.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, we had \$2,002.3 million and \$2,006.0 million, respectively, face value of indebtedness outstanding, including capital lease indebtedness. Cash paid for interest for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$23.3 million and \$24.4 million, respectively.

Our cash and cash equivalents, excluding restricted cash, totaled \$182.8 million as of March 31, 2017, compared to \$164.6 million as of December 31, 2016. Restricted cash totaled \$3.6 million and \$2.6 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, related to Harrah's New Orleans to guarantee workers' compensation payments and for capital replacements required under the Rivergate Development Corporation lease agreement.

Our ability to fund our operations, pay our debt obligations, and fund planned capital expenditures depends, in part, upon economic and other factors that are beyond our control, and disruptions in capital markets and restrictive covenants related to our existing debt could impact our ability to fund our liquidity needs, pay our indebtedness and secure additional funds through financing activities. We believe that our cash and cash equivalents balance, our short-term and long-term restricted cash balances and our cash flows from operations herein will be sufficient to meet our normal operating requirements during the next 12 months and to fund capital expenditures.

Capital Resources

The debt agreements included in Capital Resources are defined in Note 6 — Debt in the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in this report. The following table presents CGPH outstanding third-party debt as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

(In millions)	Final Maturity	Interest Rates at March 31, 2017	Face Value at March 31, 2017	Book Value at	
				March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Secured debt					
Caesars Growth Properties Holdings Revolving Credit Facility ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	2019	variable	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Caesars Growth Properties Holdings Term Loan ⁽²⁾	2021	6.25%	1,142.7	1,117.6	1,119.2
Caesars Growth Properties Holdings Notes	2022	9.375%	675.0	662.6	662.1
Cromwell Credit Facility ⁽²⁾	2019	11.00%	170.9	167.1	167.2
Capital lease obligations	2017	various	—	—	0.1
Unsecured debt					
Special Improvement District Bonds	2037	5.30%	13.7	13.7	13.7
Other financing obligations	2017	various	—	—	0.2
Total debt			2,002.3	1,961.0	1,962.5
Current portion of total debt			(12.2)	(12.2)	(12.5)
Long-term debt			\$ 1,990.1	\$ 1,948.8	\$ 1,950.0

⁽¹⁾ Variable interest rate calculated as London Inter-Bank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 5.00%.

⁽²⁾ See Note 16 — Subsequent Event.

As of March 31, 2017, we are in compliance with all affirmative and negative covenants related to our debt instruments.

See Note 6 — Debt in the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in this report for further details.

Other Obligations and Commitments

The table below summarizes CGPH's debt and related interest obligations as of March 31, 2017.

(In millions)	Payments due by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	After 5 years
Debt payable to third parties, face value	\$ 2,002.3	\$ 9.2	\$ 195.2	\$ 1,111.3	\$ 686.6
Estimated interest payments to third parties ⁽¹⁾	737.4	133.8	326.8	239.7	37.1
Total	\$ 2,739.7	\$ 143.0	\$ 522.0	\$ 1,351.0	\$ 723.7

⁽¹⁾ Estimated interest for variable rate debt included in this table is based on projected rates at March 31, 2017.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

CGPH did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements at March 31, 2017 or December 31, 2016.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The information regarding recent accounting pronouncements is included in Note 2 — Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements to the CGPH Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in this report.

Supplemental Disclosure of Non-GAAP Financial Measure

Adjusted EBITDA - Restricted

Adjusted EBITDA - Restricted is defined as EBITDA further adjusted to exclude certain non-cash and other items required or permitted in calculating covenant compliance under the indenture governing the CGPH credit facility. Specifically, Adjusted EBITDA - Restricted excludes Corner Investment Company, LLC and its subsidiaries, which are qualified non-recourse subsidiaries of CGPH, consistent with the calculations used to determine compliance with debt covenants under the credit facility.

Because not all companies use identical calculations, the presentation of CGPH's Adjusted EBITDA - Restricted may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

The following table reconciles Net income - Restricted to Adjusted EBITDA - Restricted:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Net income	\$ 6.4	\$ 12.7
Less: Net loss - Unrestricted	(3.9)	(5.3)
Net income - Restricted	10.3	18.0
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	35.4	38.2
Depreciation and amortization	34.6	28.6
Stock-based compensation	1.1	1.1
Write-downs, reserves and project opening costs, net of recoveries	5.5	0.2
Other ⁽¹⁾	1.5	0.9
Adjusted EBITDA - Restricted	<u>\$ 88.4</u>	<u>\$ 87.0</u>

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent other add-backs and deductions to arrive at Adjusted EBITDA - Restricted but not separately identified, such as acquisition and integration costs and severance expense.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Form 10-Q contains or may contain "forward-looking statements" intended to qualify for the safe harbor from liability established by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. You should not place undue reliance on such statements because they are subject to numerous uncertainties and factors relating to our operations and business environment, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. Forward-looking statements include information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations, including descriptions of our business strategy. These statements contain words such as "may," "will," "project," "might," "expect," "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "could," "would," "estimate," "continue," or "pursue," or the negative of these words or other words or expressions of similar meaning that may identify forward-looking statements and are found at various places throughout this Form 10-Q. These forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, those relating to future actions, new projects, strategies, future performance, the outcome of contingencies such as legal proceedings, and future financial results, wherever they occur in this Form 10-Q, are based on our current expectations about future events and are estimates reflecting the best judgment of CGPH's management and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements.

Investors are cautioned that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or results and involve risks and uncertainties that cannot be predicted or quantified, and, consequently, the actual performance of CGPH may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We disclose important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and the documents incorporated by reference. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following factors, as well as other factors described from time to time in the Company's reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (including the sections entitled "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained therein):

- CGPH's dependence on Caesars Entertainment and its subsidiaries, including Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC ("CES"), to provide support and services, as well as CGPH's dependence on Caesars Entertainment's and CES' senior management's expertise and its participation in Caesars Entertainment's Total Rewards loyalty program;
- the effects of a default by Caesars Entertainment or Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. ("CEOC") on certain debt obligations;
- the ability of CEOC to meet its financial obligations in light of its limited cash balances;
- Caesars Entertainment's interests may conflict with CGPH's interests and Caesars Entertainment may possibly keep all potential development opportunities for itself;
- the adverse effects due to the bankruptcy filing of CEOC and certain of its subsidiaries;
- the effects if a third-party successfully challenges Caesars Entertainment or its affiliates' ownership of, or right to use, the intellectual property owned or used by subsidiaries of Caesars Entertainment, which CGPH licenses for use in its businesses;
- the difficulty of operating CGPH's business separately from Caesars Entertainment and managing that process effectively could take up a significant amount of management's time;
- CGPH's ability to realize the anticipated benefits of current or potential future acquisitions and the ability to timely and cost-effectively integrate assets and companies that CGPH acquires into its operations;
- the effects of any lawsuits against CAC or CGP LLC related to the May 2014 Acquisitions;
- the adverse effects if extensive governmental regulation and taxation policies, which are applicable to CGPH, are enforced;
- the effects of local and national economic, credit and capital market conditions on the economy in general, and on the gaming industry in particular;
- the sensitivity of CGPH's business to reductions in discretionary consumer spending;
- the changing industry in which CGPH operates;
- any failure to protect CGPH's trademarks or other intellectual property;
- abnormal gaming holds ("gaming hold" is the amount of money that is retained by the casino from wagers by customers);
- the effects of competition, including locations of competitors and operating and market competition, particularly the intense competition CGPH's casino properties face in their respective markets;

- political and economic uncertainty created by terrorist attacks and other acts of war or hostility; and
- the other factors set forth under "Risk Factors" in this Form 10-Q or the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Any forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and, as such, speak only as of the date made. CGPH disclaims any obligation to update the forward-looking statements. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date stated or, if no date is stated, as of the date of this Form 10-Q.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk associated with our debt. We attempt to limit our exposure to interest rate risk by managing the mix of our debt between fixed-rate and variable-rate obligations.

Assuming a constant outstanding balance for our variable rate debt, a hypothetical 1% increase in interest rates would increase interest expense for the next twelve months by \$12.6 million. At March 31, 2017, the weighted average U.S. Dollar LIBOR rate on our variable rate debt was 0.99%. A hypothetical reduction of this rate to zero would have no impact on interest expense for the next twelve months.

CGPH does not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

As of March 31, 2017, our long-term variable rate debt reflects borrowings under our credit facilities provided to us by a consortium of banks with a total capacity of \$1,463.5 million. The interest rates charged on borrowings under these facilities are a function of LIBOR. As such, the interest rates charged to us for borrowings under the facilities are subject to change as LIBOR changes.

Debt covenant compliance is disclosed in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section above.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the specified time periods and accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act) at March 31, 2017. Based on this evaluation required by paragraph (b) of Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2017, at a reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Controls

We have commenced several transformation initiatives, including implementing new general ledger software to automate and simplify our business processes. These are long-term initiatives that we believe will enhance our internal control over financial reporting due to increased automation and integration of related processes. We will continue to monitor and evaluate our internal control over financial reporting throughout the transformation.

There have not been any other changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the three months ended March 31, 2017, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We are subject to a number of Noteholder Disputes related to various transactions that CEOC has completed since 2010, as well as certain other litigation. See Note 12 — Litigation, Contractual Commitments and Contingent Liabilities for full details of the matters outlined below.

Noteholder Disputes

- Litigation commenced by Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB on August 4, 2014 (the "Delaware Second Lien Lawsuit")
- Litigation commenced by parties on September 3, 2014 and October 2, 2014 (the "Senior Unsecured Lawsuits")
- Litigation commenced by UMB Bank on November 25, 2014 (the "Delaware First Lien Lawsuit")
- Demands for payment made by Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB on February 13, 2015 (the "February 13 Notice")
- Demands for payment made by BOKF, N.A., on February 18, 2015 (the "February 18 Notice")
- Litigation commenced by BOKF, N.A. on March 3, 2015 (the "New York Second Lien Lawsuit")
- Litigation commenced by UMB Bank on June 15, 2015 (the "New York First Lien Lawsuit")
- Litigation commenced by Wilmington Trust, National Association on October 20, 2015 (the "New York Senior Notes Lawsuit")

Other Litigation

- Litigation commenced by Trustees of the National Retirement Fund in January 2015 ("NRF Litigation")

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

For risk factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed Herewith	Incorporated by Reference			
			Form	Period Ending	Exhibit	Filing Date
10.1	Incremental Assumption Agreement and Amendment No. 1, by and among Caesars Growth Properties Holdings, LLC ("CGPH"), Caesars Growth Properties Parent, LLC ("CGPP"), the other loan parties party thereto, the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, as Administrative Agent, amending the First Lien Credit Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2014, among CGPH, CGPP, the lenders party thereto and the Administrative Agent (the "First Lien Credit Agreement").	—	8-K	—	10.1	04/28/17
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X				
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X				
* 32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	—				
* 32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	—				
101	The following financial statements from the Company's Form 10-Q as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, and for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016 formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, (iii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Stockholder's Equity, (iv) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows, (v) Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.	X				
*	Furnished herewith.					

I, Mitch Garber, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-Q of Caesars Growth Properties Holdings, LLC;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 2, 2017

By: Caesars Growth Properties Parent, LLC

its managing member

By: Caesars Growth Partners, LLC

its managing member

By: Caesars Acquisition Company

its managing member

By: /s/ MITCH GARBER

Mitch Garber
President and Chief Executive Officer
of Caesars Acquisition Company, its managing member

I, Craig Abrahams, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-Q of Caesars Growth Properties Holdings, LLC;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 2, 2017

By: Caesars Growth Properties Parent, LLC

its managing member

By: Caesars Growth Partners, LLC

its managing member

By: Caesars Acquisition Company

its managing member

By: /s/ CRAIG ABRAHAMS

Craig Abrahams
Chief Financial Officer
of Caesars Acquisition Company, its managing member

Certification of Principal Executive Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of Caesars Growth Properties Holdings, LLC (the "Company"), hereby certifies, to such officer's knowledge, that:

(i) the accompanying Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2017 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(ii) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: May 2, 2017

By:	Caesars Growth Properties Parent, LLC its managing member
By:	Caesars Growth Partners, LLC its managing member
By:	Caesars Acquisition Company its managing member
By:	/s/ MITCH GARBER <hr/> Mitch Garber President and Chief Executive Officer of Caesars Acquisition Company, its managing member

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely to accompany the Report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, and is not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

Certification of Principal Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of Caesars Growth Properties Holdings, LLC (the "Company"), hereby certifies, to such officer's knowledge, that:

(i) the accompanying Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2017 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(ii) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: May 2, 2017

By:	Caesars Growth Properties Parent, LLC its managing member
By:	Caesars Growth Partners, LLC its managing member
By:	Caesars Acquisition Company its managing member
By:	<u>/s/ CRAIG ABRAHAMS</u> Craig Abrahams Chief Financial Officer of Caesars Acquisition Company, its managing member

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely to accompany the Report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, and is not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.